arXiv:1212.1857v1 [math.AP] 9 Dec 2012

A mean field type flow.

Jean-baptiste Castéras

LMBA
Université de Bretagne Occidentale
6 av. Victor Le Gorgeu – CS 93837
29238 Brest Cedex
France
E-mail: jean-baptiste.casteras@univ-brest.fr

Abstract

We consider a gradient flow related to the mean field type equation. First, we show that this flow exists for all time. Next, we prove a compactness result for this flow allowing us to get, under suitable hypothesis on its energy, the convergence of the flow to a solution of the mean field type equation. We also get a divergence result if the energy of the initial data is largely negative.

Key words : Mean field equation, Blow-up analysis, Geometric flow.

AMS subjet classification : 35B33, 35J20, 53C44, 58E20.

0.1 Introduction

Let (M,g) be a compact riemannian surface without boundary, we will study an evolution problem associated to a mean field type equation :

$$-\Delta v + Q = \rho \frac{e^v}{\int_M e^v dV}, \tag{0.1}$$

where ρ is a real number, $Q \in C^\infty(M)$ is a given function such that $\displaystyle\int_M Q dV = \rho$ and Δ is the Laplacian with respect to the metric g. Equation (0.1) is equivalent to the mean field equation :

$$-\triangle u + \rho \left(\frac{-fe^u}{\int_M fe^u dV} + \frac{1}{|M|} \right) = 0, \tag{0.2}$$

where $|M|$ stands for the volume of M with respect to the metric g and $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is a function supposed positive. Indeed, if v is a solution of

(0.1), by setting $v = u + \log f$, we recover that u is a solution of (0.2) with $Q = \dfrac{\rho}{|M|} + \Delta \log f$. The mean field equation appears in conformal geometry but also in statistic mechanic from Onsager's vortex model for turbulent Euler flows. More precisely, in this setting, the solution u of the mean field equation is the stream function in the infinite vortex limit (see [9]). It also arises in the abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs model (see for example [8], [17], [31], [33]).

Equation (0.2) has a variational structure and its solutions can be found as the critical points of the following functional :

$$I_\rho(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M |\nabla u|^2 dV + \frac{\rho}{|M|}\int_M u dV - \rho \log\left(\int_M f e^u dV\right),\ u \in H^1(M). \tag{0.3}$$

When $\rho < 8\pi$, from the Moser-Trudinger's inequality, one can easily prove that the functional I_ρ is bounded from below and coercive ; thus one can find solution of (0.2) by minimizing I_ρ. Existence of solutions becomes more delicate if $\rho \geq 8\pi$. When $\rho = 8\pi$, I_ρ admits a lower bound and isn't anymore coercive and when $\rho > 8\pi$, I_ρ is unbounded from below and above. Existence of solutions of equation (0.1) has been widely studied this last decades. Many partial existence results have been obtained if $\rho \neq 8k\pi,\ k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and according to the Euler characteristic of M (see for example [6], [10], [12], [20], [21], [23], [30]). Finally, when $\rho \neq 8k\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, Djadli [13] has generalized the previous results establishing the existence of solution for all surfaces M by studying the topology of sublevels $\{I_\rho \leq -C\}$ to achieve a min-max scheme (already introduced in Djadli-Malchioldi [14]).

In this paper, we consider the evolution problem associated to equation (0.1), that is the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^v = \triangle v - Q + \rho \dfrac{e^v}{\int_M e^v dV} \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{0.4}$$

where $v_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}(M)$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, is the initial data and $Q \in C^\infty(M)$ is a given function such that $\displaystyle\int_M Q dV = \rho$. It is a gradient flow with respect to the following functional which will be called energy :

$$J_\rho(v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_M |\nabla v|^2 dV + \int_M Q v(t) dV - \rho \ln\left(\int_M e^v dV\right),\ v \in H^1(M). \tag{0.5}$$

This functional is unbounded from below (except in the case $\rho < 8\pi$) and above. The interest of this flow is that it satisfies some important geometrical properties useful for its convergence (see in particular estimate (3.2)

of Section 3). When Q is a constant equal to the scalar curvature of M with respect to the metric g, the flow (0.4) (normalized) has been studied by Struwe [28] (we notice that in this case $\rho = \displaystyle\int_M Q dV \leq 8\pi$). For others curvature flows, we refer to [2], [3], [4], [5], [16], [19], [24], [26], [29] and the references therein.
We begin by studying the global existence of the flow (0.4). We prove the following theorem :

Theorem 0.1. *For all initial data $v_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}(M)$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, all $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and all function $Q \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\displaystyle\int_M Q dV = \rho$, there exists a unique global solution $v \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{loc}(M \times [0,+\infty))$ of* (0.4).

Next, we investigate the convergence of the flow. We denote $v(t) : M \to \mathbb{R}$ the function defined by $v(t)(x) = v(x,t)$. We will show that if the energy $J_\rho(v(t))$ of the global solution is bounded from below uniformly in time (when $\rho > 8\pi$) then $v(t)$ converges when $t \to +\infty$ to a function v_∞ solution of equation (0.1). More precisely, we have :

Theorem 0.2. *Let $v(t)$ be the solution of* (0.4).
(i) If $\rho < 8\pi$, then $v(t)$ converges in $H^2(M)$ to a function $v_\infty \in C^\infty(M)$ solution of

$$-\Delta v_\infty + Q = \rho \frac{e^{v_\infty}}{\int_M e^{v_\infty} dV}.$$

(ii) If $\rho > 8\pi$, $\rho \neq 8k\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and if there exists a constant $C > 0$ not depending on t such that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$J_\rho(v(t)) \geq -C, \tag{0.6}$$

then $v(t)$ converges in $H^2(M)$ to a function $v_\infty \in C^\infty(M)$ solution of

$$-\Delta v_\infty + Q = \rho \frac{e^{v_\infty}}{\int_M e^{v_\infty} dV}.$$

Moreover, we will prove that there exist initial data $v_0 \in C^\infty(M)$ such that the energy of the global solution $v(t)$ of the flow, with $v(0)(x) = v_0(x)$ for all $x \in M$, stays uniformly bounded from below hence, thanks to Theorem 0.2, such that the flow converges.

Theorem 0.3. *Let $\rho \neq 8k\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. There exist initial data $v_0 \in C^\infty(M)$ such that the global solution $v(t)$ of (0.4) with $v(x,0) = v_0(x)$, for all $x \in M$, satisfies* (0.6) *i.e. such that the global solution $v(t)$ of (0.4) with $v(x,0) = v_0(x)$, for all $x \in M$, converges in $H^2(M)$ to a function $v_\infty \in C^\infty(M)$ solution of the equation* (0.1)

$$-\Delta v_\infty + Q = \rho \frac{e^{v_\infty}}{\int_M e^{v_\infty} dV}.$$

Finally, we will show that if the energy of the initial data v_0 of (0.4) is largely negative then the flow diverges when $t \to +\infty$.

Theorem 0.4. *Let $\rho \in (8k\pi, 8(k+1)\pi)$ $(k \geq 1)$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on M, Q and ρ such that for all $v_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}(M)$ satisfying $J_\rho(v_0) \leq -C$, then the global solution $v(t)$ of (0.4) with $v(x,0) = v_0(x)$, for all $x \in M$, satisfies $J_\rho(v(t)) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} -\infty$.*

In order to prove the previous convergence results, we study the compactness property of solutions $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ of the following perturbed elliptic mean field type equation :

$$-\Delta v_n = Q_0 + h_n e^{v_n} + \rho e^{v_n}, \tag{0.7}$$

where $\rho > 0$, $Q_0 \in C^0(M)$ is a given function and $(h_n)_n \subseteq C^0(M)$. In fact, in what follows, the sequence $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ is said compact if it is uniformly bounded in $H^2(M)$. The term h_n corresponds to the parabolic term of (0.4). We also assume that there exists a constant $C > 0$ not depending on n such that

$$\begin{cases} (i) \lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} \displaystyle\int_M h_n^2 e^{v_n} dV = 0, \\ (ii)\ h_n(x)e^{v_n(x)} + \rho e^{v_n(x)} \geq -C, \forall x \in M,\ \forall n \geq 0. \end{cases} \tag{0.8}$$

We will see that these conditions are satisfied by the solution of the flow (0.4). We obtain the following compactness result :

Theorem 0.5. *Let $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ be a sequence of solutions of (0.7) such that $\displaystyle\int_M e^{v_n} dV = 1$, $\forall n \geq 0$, and satisfying conditions (0.8). Then, we have the following alternative :*

- Either, there exists a constant C not depending on n such that

$$\|v_n\|_{H^2(M)} \leq C, \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

- or, up to a subsequence, there exist k sequences of points $(x_n^1)_n, \dots, (x_n^k)_n$ with $1 \leq k \leq [\frac{\rho}{8\pi}]$ (where $[\frac{\rho}{8\pi}]$ stands for the integer part of $\frac{\rho}{8\pi}$), and k sequences of real positive numbers $(R_n^1)_n, \dots, (R_n^k)_n$ satisfying the following properties
(i)

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = \frac{8\pi}{\rho},\ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}. \tag{0.9}$$

where $B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)$ stands for the geodesic ball of center x_n^i and radius $2R_n^i$.
(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{R_n^i}{|x_n^i - x_n^j|} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,\ \forall i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, k\}\ if\ k \neq 1, \\ &R_n^1 \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,\ if\ k = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{0.10}$$

where $|x_n^i - x_n^j|$ *stands for the geodesic distance from* x_n^i *to* x_n^j *with respect to the metric* g.
(iii)

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{M\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV = 0.$$

The compactness of solutions of (0.7) when $\rho \neq 8k\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, is an immediate consequence of Theorem 0.5.

Corollary 0.1. *Let* $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ *be a sequence of solutions of (0.7) such that* $\displaystyle\int_M e^{v_n}dV = 1$, $\forall n \geq 0$, *and satisfying* (0.8). *If* $\rho \neq 8k\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, *then there exists a constant* C *not depending on* n *such that*

$$\|v_n\|_{H^2(M)} \leq C.$$

Corollary 0.1 generalizes the result of Li [20] where the function h_n is equal to zero. Due to the term $h_n e^{v_n}$, the methods used in Li [20] are not adapted anymore, therefore we will rely on integral estimates in the spirit of Malchiodi [22].

The paper is organised as follows : in Section 1, we prove the global existence of solution of (0.4). We also show the continuity of the flow with respect to its initial data. In Section 2, we study the compactness property of $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ solution of (0.7). We first show that we have the following alternative : either $(v_n)_n$ is compact or some blow-up phenomena for $(v_n)_n$ appear. Next we establish the asymptotic profil of $(v_n)_n$ near a blow-up. Then we prove an integral Harnack type inequality which will allow us to obtain estimates $(i), (ii)$ and (iii) of Theorem 0.5. Corollary 0.1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 0.5 since if we assume that we are in the second alternative of Theorem 0.5 then it follows that

$$1 = \int_M e^{v_n}dV \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \frac{8k\pi}{\rho}.$$

Therefore, we deduce that if $\rho \neq 8k\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the concentration phenomena can't appear and, thereby, that the sequence $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ is compact.
In Section 3, we study the convergence of the flow (0.4). We begin by proving Theorem 0.2. We first show that the global solution $v(t)$ of (0.4) is uniformly (with respect to t) bounded in $H^1(M)$ when $v(t)$ satisfies condition (0.6). The proof involves the compactness result obtained in Corollary 0.1. We point out that, when $\rho < 8\pi$, condition (0.6) is always satisfied. Then, we show that the parabolic term of (0.4), $\dfrac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t}$, tends to 0 when $t \to +\infty$ in $L^2(M)$ norm with respect to the metric $g_1(t) = e^{v(t)}g$. This implies that $v(t)$ is uniformly bounded in $H^2(M)$. Next we prove Theorem 0.3, i.e. there

exists initial data in $C^\infty(M)$ such that condition (0.6) is satisfied. Our proof is based on the study of the topology of the level set

$$\{v \in X \ : \ J_\rho(v) \leq -L\},$$

where X is the space of $C^\infty(M)$ functions endowed with the $C^{2+\alpha}(M)$ norm, $\alpha \in (0,1)$. The end of Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 0.4.

1 Global existence of the flow.

We begin by noticing that, since the flow is parabolic, standard methods (see for example [15]) provide short time existence. Thus, there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that $v \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0,T_1])$ is a solution of (0.4). We will give two basic properties of the flow : the conservation of the volume of M endowed with the metric $g_1(t) = e^{v(t)}g$ and the decreasing, along the flow, of the functional $J_\rho(v(t))$.

Proposition 1.1. *(i) For all* $t \in [0,T_1]$*, we have*

$$\int_M e^{v(t)} dV = \int_M e^{v_0} dV. \tag{1.1}$$

(ii) If $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq T_1$*, we have :*

$$J_\rho(v(t_1)) \leqslant J_\rho(v(t_0)). \tag{1.2}$$

Proof. To see that (1.1) holds, it is sufficient to integrate (0.4) on M. Differentiating $J_\rho(v(t))$ with respect to t and integrating by parts, one finds, for all $t \in [0,T_1]$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} J_\rho(v(t)) = -\int_M \left(\frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t}\right)^2 e^{v(t)} dV \leqslant 0. \tag{1.3}$$

This implies (1.2). □

1.1 Proof of Theorem 0.1.

To prove the global existence of the flow, we set

$$T = \sup\left\{\overline{T} > 0 : \exists v \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0,\overline{T}]) \ \textit{solution of} \ (0.4)\right\},$$

and suppose that $T < +\infty$. From the definition of T, we have $v \in C_{loc}^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0,T))$. We will show that there exists a constant $C_T > 0$ depending on T, M, Q, ρ and $\|v_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}$ such that

$$\|v\|_{C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M \times [0,T))} \leq C_T. \tag{1.4}$$

This estimate allows us to extend v beyond T, contradicting the definition of T.

In the following, C will denote constants depending on M, Q, ρ and $\|v_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}$ and C_T the ones depending on M, Q, ρ, $\|v_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}$ and T.

Proposition 1.2. *For all $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant C_T such that*

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C_T, \ \forall t \in [0,T). \tag{1.5}$$

Moreover, if $\rho < 8\pi$, there exists a constant C not depending on T such that

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C, \ \forall t \in [0,T). \tag{1.6}$$

Proof. We will decompose the proof into three steps.

Step 1. *Let $\rho \geq 8\pi$. There exists a constant C_T such that*

$$v(x,t) \leqslant C_T, \ \forall x \in M, \ \forall t \in [0,T). \tag{1.7}$$

Proof of Step 1. We define $v_{\max}(t) = \max\limits_{x\in M} v\,(x,t)$. By the maximum principle and since v satisfies equation (0.4), we find

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{v_{\max}(t)} \quad \leq \quad \frac{\rho}{\int_M e^{v_0} dV} \left(\|Q\|_{L^\infty(M)} \frac{\int_M e^{v_0} dV}{\rho} + e^{v_{\max}(t)} \right),$$

where we use that $\displaystyle\int_M e^{v(t)} dV = \int_M e^{v_0} dV$, for all $t \in [0,T)$. Integrating this last inequality, between 0 and t, we get

$$e^{v_{\max}(t)} + \|Q\|_{L^\infty(M)} \frac{\int_M e^{v_0} dV}{\rho} \leq \left(e^{v_{\max}(0)} + \|Q\|_{L^\infty(M)} \frac{\int_M e^{v_0} dV}{\rho} \right) e^{\frac{\rho t}{\int_M e^{v_0} dV}}.$$

Hence (1.7) follows.

Step 2. *Let $\rho \geq 8\pi$. There exists a subset A of M, with volume $|A| > C_T$ and a constant δ depending on M, Q, ρ, $\|v_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}$ and T such that*

$$|v(x,t)| \leqslant \delta, \ \forall x \in A \text{ and } t \in [0,T). \tag{1.8}$$

Proof of Step 2. Fix $t \in [0,T)$ and set

$$M_\varepsilon = \left\{ x \in M : e^{v(x,t)} < \varepsilon \right\},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a real number which will be determined later. Setting $\int_M e^{v_0}dV = a$, by the conservation of the volume and (1.7), we have :

$$\begin{aligned} a = \int_M e^{v(t)}dV &= \int_{M_\varepsilon} e^{v(t)}dV + \int_{M\setminus M_\varepsilon} e^{v(t)}dV \\ &\leqslant \varepsilon|M_\varepsilon| + e^{C_T}|M \setminus M_\varepsilon|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon = \dfrac{a}{2|M|}$, we find

$$|M \setminus M_\varepsilon| \geqslant \frac{a}{2}e^{-C_T} > 0. \tag{1.9}$$

Setting $A = M\setminus M_\varepsilon$, by definition of M_ε, we have $v(x,t) \geq \ln\varepsilon = \ln\dfrac{a}{2|M|}$, for all $x \in A$ and $t \in [0,T)$. On the other hand, by Step 1, $v(x,t) \leqslant C_T$, $\forall x \in M$ and $\forall t \in [0,T)$, therefore we get that there exists a constant δ such that

$$|v(x,t)| \leqslant \delta, \quad \forall x \in A\ ,\ \forall t \in [0,T).$$

Step 3. *Let $\rho \geq 8\pi$. For all $t \in [0,T)$, we have*

$$\int_M v^2(t)dV \leqslant C_1\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2dV + C_2, \tag{1.10}$$

where C_1, C_2 are two constants depending on T, Q, $\|v_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}$, M and A (where A is the set defined in Step 2).

Proof of Step 3. By Poincaré's inequality, one has

$$\int_M v^2(t)dV \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2dV + \frac{1}{|M|}\left(\int_M v(t)dV\right)^2, \tag{1.11}$$

where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of the laplacian. Now, using Young's inequality and (1.8), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|M|}\left(\int_M v(t)dV\right)^2 &= \frac{1}{|M|}\left(\int_A v(t)dV\right)^2 + \frac{1}{|M|}\left(\int_{M\setminus A} v(t)dV\right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{2}{|M|}\left(\int_A v(t)dV\right)\left(\int_{M\setminus A} v(t)dV\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\delta^2|A|^2}{|M|} + \frac{1}{|M|}\left(\int_{M\setminus A} v(t)dV\right)^2 \\ &+ \frac{2\delta^2|A|^2}{\varepsilon|M|} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{|M|}\left(\int_{M\setminus A} v(t)dV\right)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{1.12}$$

where ε is a positive constant which will be determined later. By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, one has

$$\left(\int_{M\backslash A} v(t)dV\right)^2 \leq |M\backslash A| \int_{M\backslash A} v^2(t)dV. \tag{1.13}$$

Thus, (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13) yield to

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M v^2(t)dV \quad &\leq \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2 dV + \left(1 - \frac{|A|}{|M|} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{|M|}|M\backslash A|\right)\int_M v^2(t)dV \\ &+ \quad \frac{\delta^2|A|^2}{|M|} + \frac{2\delta^2|A|^2}{\varepsilon|M|}.\end{aligned}$$

Choosing ε such that $\alpha = \left(1 - \frac{|A|}{|M|} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{|M|}|M\backslash A|\right) < 1$, and setting $\tilde{C} = \frac{\delta^2|A|^2}{|M|} + \frac{2\delta^2|A|^2}{|M|\varepsilon}$, we deduce that

$$(1-\alpha)\int_M v^2(t)dV \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2 dV + \tilde{C}.$$

Therefore inequality (1.10) is established.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. We will consider two cases $\rho < 8\pi$ and $\rho \geq 8\pi$. In the first one, we will prove that the constant C of estimate (1.6) isn't depending on T. We begin with the case $\rho < 8\pi$. Using Poincaré and Young's inequalities, we have

$$C\int_M |v(t) - \bar{v}(t)|\, dV \quad \leq \quad \varepsilon\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2 dV + C,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small constant to be chosen later. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}J_\rho(v(t)) \quad &= \quad \frac{1}{2}\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2\, dV + \int_M Q\left(v(t) - \bar{v}(t)\right) dV \\ &- \quad \rho\log\left(\int_M e^{v(t)-\bar{v}(t)} dV\right) \\ &\geq \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right)\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2\, dV - C \\ &- \quad \rho\log\left(\int_M e^{v(t)-\bar{v}(t)} dV\right).\end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

By Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\log\left(\int_M e^{v(t)-\bar{v}(t)} dV\right) \geq C,\ \forall t \in [0,T), \tag{1.15}$$

where $\bar{v}(t) = \dfrac{\int_M v(t)dV}{|M|}$. Hence, using (1.14), (1.15) and setting $\rho_1 = \max(\rho, 0)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\rho(v(t)) &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right) \int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2 \, dV - C \\ &- \rho_1 \log\left(\int_M e^{v(t) - \bar{v}(t)} dV\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Moser-Trudinger's inequality (see [25], [32]), one has

$$\log \int_M e^{(u(t) - \bar{u}(t))} dV \leq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_M |\nabla u(t)|^2 \, dV + C. \tag{1.16}$$

Therefore

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\rho_1}{16\pi} - \varepsilon\right) \int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2 \, dV - C.$$

Thus, by taking $\varepsilon = \dfrac{8\pi - \rho_1}{32\pi}$ and using that $J_\rho(v(t)) \leq J_\rho(v_0)$, $\forall t \in [0, T)$, we find that, $\forall \rho < 8\pi$,

$$\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2 \, dV \leq C. \tag{1.17}$$

Now, using (1.17) and Poincaré's inequality, we obtain, $\forall \rho < 8\pi$,

$$\|v(t) - \bar{v}(t)\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C. \tag{1.18}$$

Since $\int_M e^{v(t)} dV = \int_M e^{v_0}$ for all $t \in [0, T)$, using Jensen's inequality (1.15), Moser-Trudinger's inequality (1.16) and (1.17), we deduce that

$$|\bar{v}(t)| \leq C.$$

Finally, from (1.18) and the previous inequality, we obtain, for all $\rho < 8\pi$,

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C, \ \forall\ 0 \leq t < T. \tag{1.19}$$

Let's now consider the last case $\rho \geq 8\pi$. Since $\int_M e^{v(t)} dV = \int_M e^{v_0}$ for all $t \in [0, T)$, and by Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\rho(v_0) \geq J_\rho(v) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla v|^2 dV + \int_M Qv dV - C \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla v|^2 dV - \varepsilon \int_M v^2(t) dV - C, \end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

where ε is a positive constant which will be chosen later. Thanks to estimate (1.10) of Step 3, inequality (1.20) leads to

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2 dV \leq C + C_1\varepsilon \int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2 dV.$$

Choosing ε such that $\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon C_1 > 0$, we obtain that, for all $t \in [0,T)$,

$$\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2 dV \leq C_T. \tag{1.21}$$

Combining (1.10) and (1.21), we have $\displaystyle\int_M v^2(t)dV \leq C_T$. Finally, we conclude that, for all $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $C_T > 0$ such that

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C_T, \ \forall\ t \in [0,T).$$

□

Proposition 1.3. *There exists a constant $C_T > 0$ such that*

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^2(M)} \leq C_T, \forall\ 0 \leq t < T.$$

Proof. Since $\|v\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C_T$, we just need to bound $\int_M (\Delta v(t))^2 dV$, for all $t \in [0,T)$. To this purpose, we set

$$w(t) = \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t} e^{\frac{v(t)}{2}}.$$

By differentiating with respect to t and integrating by parts on M, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_M (\Delta v(t))^2\, dV \\
=\ & \int_M \left(w(t)e^{\frac{v(t)}{2}} + Q - \frac{\rho e^{v(t)}}{\int_M e^{v_0} dV} \right) \Delta\left(w(t) e^{-\frac{v(t)}{2}} \right) dV \\
=\ & -\int_M |\nabla w(t)|^2\, dV + \frac{1}{4}\int_M w^2(t)\, |\nabla v(t)|^2\, dV \\
+\ & \int_M \Delta Q \left(w(t) e^{-\frac{v(t)}{2}} \right) dV \\
+\ & \frac{\rho}{\int_M e^{v_0} dV} \left(\int_M \nabla v(t) \nabla w(t) e^{\frac{v(t)}{2}} dV - \frac{1}{2}\int_M w(t) e^{\frac{v(t)}{2}}\, |\nabla v(t)|^2\, dV \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $Q \in C^\infty(M)$ and $w(t) = \dfrac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t} e^{\frac{v(t)}{2}}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_M (\Delta v(t))^2\, dV \\
\leq\ & -\int_M |\nabla w(t)|^2\, dV + \frac{1}{4}\int_M w^2(t)\, |\nabla v(t)|^2\, dV + C \left\| \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^1(M)} \\
+\ & C \left(\int_M e^{\frac{v(t)}{2}} \Big(|\nabla w(t)|\, |\nabla v(t)| + |\nabla v(t)|^2\, |w(t)| \Big)\, dV \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

We now estimate the positive terms on the right of (1.22). From Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality (see for example [7]), $\forall f \in H^1(M)$,

$$\|f\|^2_{L^4(M)} \leq C\,\|f\|_{L^2(M)}\,\|f\|_{H^1(M)}\,,$$

using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M w^2(t)\,|\nabla v(t)|^2\,dV \\
\leq\ & \|w(t)\|^2_{L^4(M)}\,\|\nabla v(t)\|^2_{L^4(M)} \\
\leq\ & C_T\,\|w(t)\|_{L^2(M)}\,\|w(t)\|_{H^1(M)}\,\|v(t)\|_{H^2(M)}\,. \qquad (1.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (1.5) and Moser-Trudinger's inequality (1.16), we deduce that there exists a constant C_T such that, for all $t \in [0,T)$, and $p \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_M e^{pv(t)}dV \leq C_T. \qquad (1.24)$$

In the same way as for proving (1.23), using (1.5) and (1.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2\,|w(t)|\,e^{\frac{v(t)}{2}}\,dV \\
\leq\ & \left(\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^4\,dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_M w^4(t)dV\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_M e^{2v(t)}dV\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
\leq\ & C_T\,\|v(t)\|_{H^2(M)}\,\|w(t)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^1(M)}\,\|w(t)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(M)}\,, \qquad (1.25)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M |\nabla w(t)|\,|\nabla v(t)|\,e^{\frac{v(t)}{2}}\,dV \\
\leq\ & \left(\int_M |\nabla w(t)|^2\,dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_M |\nabla v(t)|^4\,dV\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(\int_M e^{2v(t)}dV\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
\leq\ & C_T\,\|w(t)\|_{H^1(M)}\,\|v(t)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^2(M)}\,, \qquad (1.26)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_M \left|\frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t}\right| dV &\leq \left(\int_M \left(\frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t}\right)^2 e^{v(t)}dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_M e^{-v(t)}dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C_T\,\|w(t)\|_{L^2(M)}\,. \qquad (1.27)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, putting (1.23), (1.25), (1.26), (1.27) in (1.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_M (\Delta v(t))^2\,dV \\
\leq\ & -\int_M |\nabla w(t)|^2 dV + C_T\,\|w(t)\|_{H^1(M)}\,\|w(t)\|_{L^2(M)}\,\|v(t)\|_{H^2(M)} + C_T\,\|w(t)\|_{L^2(M)} \\
+\ & C_T\left(\|w(t)\|_{H^1(M)}\,\|v(t)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^2(M)} + \|w(t)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^1(M)}\,\|w(t)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(M)}\,\|v(t)\|_{H^2(M)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int_M (\Delta v(t))^2\, dV + 1\right)\\ \leq\;& C_T\left(\int_M (\Delta v(t))^2\, dV + 1\right)\left(\|w(t)\|^2_{L^2(M)} + 1\right). \qquad (1.28)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (1.3), we have, for all $t \in [0, T)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \|w(s)\|^2_{L^2(M)}\, ds &= \int_0^t \int_M \left(\frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial s}\right)^2 e^{v(s)} dV ds\\ &= -\int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s} J_\rho(v(s)) ds = J_\rho(v_0) - J_\rho(v(t))\\ &\leq C_T, \qquad (1.29)\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\|v(t)\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C_T$ from Proposition 1.2. Integrating (1.28) with respect to t and using (1.29), we have

$$\int_M (\Delta v(t))^2\, dV \quad\leq\quad C_T,\ \forall t \in [0, T).$$

Since $\|v(t)\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C_T$, we deduce that

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^2(M)} \leq C_T, \forall\, t \in [0, T)\,.$$

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 0.1. We recall that to prove the global existence of the flow it is sufficient to prove (1.4), i.e. there exists a constant C_T depending on T such that

$$\|v\|_{C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M\times[0,T))} \leq C_T,\ \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

First, we claim that for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists a constant C_T such that

$$|v(x_1, t_1) - v(x_2, t_2)| \leq C_T(|t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + |x_1 - x_2|^\alpha), \qquad (1.30)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in M$, all $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T)$, and where $|x_1 - x_2|$ stands for the geodesic distance from x_1 to x_2 with respect to the metric g. From Proposition 1.3, we have, for all $t \in [0, T)$, $\|v(t)\|_{H^2(M)} \leq C_T$. Thus, by Sobolev's embedding Theorem (see [18]), we get that, for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $v(t) \in C^\alpha(M)$ that is, for all $x, y \in M$,

$$|v(x, t) - v(y, t)| \leq C_T|x - y|^\alpha. \qquad (1.31)$$

If $t_2 - t_1 \geq 1$, using (1.31), it is easy to see that (1.30) holds. Thus, from now on, we assume that $0 < t_2 - t_1 < 1$. Since $v(t)$ is a solution of (0.4) and $\left\|e^{v(t)}\right\|_{C^\alpha(M)} \leq C_T$, for all $t \in [0, T)$, one has

$$\left|\frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t}\right|^2 \leq C_T |\Delta v(t)|^2 + C_T.$$

Integrating on M, we obtain, for all $t \in [0, T)$,

$$\int_M \left|\frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t}\right|^2 dV \leq C_T \|v(t)\|^2_{H^2(M)} + C_T \leq C_T. \tag{1.32}$$

Now, we write

$$\begin{aligned} |v(x,t_1) \quad - \quad v(x,t_2)| &= \frac{1}{|B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)|} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)} |v(x,t_1) - v(x,t_2)| dV(y) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)} |v(x,t_1) - v(y,t_1)| dV(y) \\ &+ \frac{C}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)} |v(y,t_1) - v(y,t_2)| dV(y) \\ &+ \frac{C}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)} |v(y,t_2) - v(x,t_2)| dV(y), \end{aligned} \tag{1.33}$$

where $B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)$ stands for the geodesic ball of center x and radius $\sqrt{t_2 - t_1}$. Let's consider the first term on the right of (1.33). Using (1.31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{C}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)} |v(x,t_1) - v(y,t_1)| dV(y) \\ \leq\ & \frac{C_T}{(t_2 - t_1)} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)} |x - y|^\alpha dV(y) \\ \leq\ & C_T (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.34}$$

In the same way, we have

$$\frac{C}{t_2 - t_1} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)} |v(x,t_2) - v(y,t_2)| dV(y) \leq C_T (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \tag{1.35}$$

We find, using Hölder's inequality and (1.32),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{C}{t_2-t_1}\int_{B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)}|v(y,t_1)-v(y,t_2)|dV(y)\\
\le\ & C\sup_{t_1\le\tau\le t_2}\int_{B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial s}\right|(y,\tau)dV(y)\\
\le\ & C\sqrt{t_2-t_1}\sup_{t_1\le\tau\le t_2}\left(\int_{B_{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}(x)}\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial s}\right|^2(y,\tau)dV(y)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\le\ & C_T\sqrt{t_2-t_1}. \qquad (1.36)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (1.34), (1.35), (1.36) in (1.33) and noticing that for all $0<t_2-t_1<1$, we have $\sqrt{t_2-t_1}\le(t_2-t_1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, we find

$$|v(x,t_1)-v(x,t_2)|\le C_T(t_2-t_1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \qquad (1.37)$$

Therefore, from (1.31) and (1.37), we see that (1.30) holds. In view of (1.30), we may apply the standard regularity theory for parabolic equations (see for example [15]) to derive that there exists a constant C_T depending on T such that

$$\|v\|_{C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M\times[0,T))}\le C_T,\ \alpha\in(0,1).$$

This completes the existence part of Theorem 0.1. The uniqueness follows from Proposition 1.4.

Remark 1.1. *Following the proof of Theorem 0.1, we see that, for all* $T>0$ *fixed, if* $\|u_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}\le K$ *for some constant* $K>0$*, then there exists a constant* $C_T>0$ *depending on* K *and* T *such that*

$$\|u\|_{C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M\times[0,T])}\le C_T.$$

1.2 Continuity of the flow with respect to its initial data.

We now show the continuity of the flow with respect to its initial data which will be useful for the proof of Theorem 0.3 (see Section 3).

Proposition 1.4. *Let* $u,v\in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{loc}(M\times[0,+\infty))$, $\alpha\in(0,1)$*, be solutions of*

$$\begin{cases}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^v=\triangle v-Q+\rho\frac{e^v}{\int_M e^v dV}\\ v(x,0)=v_0(x),\end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^u=\triangle u-Q+\rho\frac{e^u}{\int_M e^u dV}\\ u(x,0)=u_0(x),\end{cases}$$

where $u_0, v_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}(M)$*. Then, for all* $T>0$*, there exists a constant* $C_T>0$ *depending on* $\|u_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}, \|v_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}$ *and* T*, such that*

$$\|u-v\|_{C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M\times[0,T])} \leq C_T \|u_0-v_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}. \tag{1.38}$$

Remark 1.2. *Following the proof of Proposition 1.4, we see that, for all* $T>0$ *fixed, if* $\|u_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \leq K_1$ *and* $\|v_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \leq K_2$ *for some constants* $K_1, K_2 > 0$*, then there exists a constant* $C_T>0$ *depending on* K_1*,* K_2 *and* T *such that*

$$\|u-v\|_{C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M\times[0,T])} \leq C_T \|u_0-v_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}.$$

Proof. We set $F=u-v$. F satisfies

$$\frac{\partial F(x,t)}{\partial t} = a(x,t)\Delta F(x,t) + b(x,t)F(x,t) + f,$$

where

$$a(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{-u(x,t)} + e^{-v(x,t)}),$$

$$b(x,t) = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta u(x,t) + \Delta v(x,t)\right) - Q(x)\right)\int_0^1 e^{-su(x,t)-(1-s)v(x,t)}ds$$

and

$$f = \rho\left(\frac{1}{\int_M e^{u_0}dV} - \frac{1}{\int_M e^{v_0}dV}\right).$$

Since $u, v \in C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M\times[0,T])$, there exists two constants C_T such that

$$\|a\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M\times[0,T])} \leq C_T, \tag{1.39}$$

and

$$\|b\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M\times[0,T])} \leq C_T. \tag{1.40}$$

It is easy to check that

$$|f| \leq C\|F(.,0)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}, \tag{1.41}$$

where C is a constant depending on $\|u_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}$ and $\|v_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}$. Using (1.39), (1.40) and regularity theory for parabolic equations (see [15]), we find that, for all $T>0$,

$$\|F\|_{C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M\times[0,T])} \leq C_T\left(\|F(.,0)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} + \|F\|_{L^\infty(M\times[0,T])}\right). \tag{1.42}$$

Let's set $F_{\max}(t) = \max_{x\in M} F(x,t)$ and $F_{\min}(t) = \min_{x\in M} F(x,t)$ for $t\in[0,T]$. By the maximum principle and using (1.41), we have

$$F_{\max}(t) \leq e^{T\|b\|_{L^\infty(M\times[0,T])}}|F_{\max}(0)| + C_T\|F(.,0)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}e^{T\|b\|_{L^\infty(M,[0,T])}}$$

and

$$F_{\min}(t) \geq -e^{T\|b\|_{L^\infty(M\times[0,T])}} |F_{\min}(0)| - C_T \|F(.,0)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} e^{T\|b\|_{L^\infty(M,[0,T])}}.$$

This yields to

$$\|F\|_{L^\infty(M\times[0,T])} \leq C_T \|F(.,0)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \cdot \qquad (1.43)$$

Combining (1.42) and (1.43), we obtain (1.38),

$$\|u - v\|_{C^{2+\alpha,1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(M\times[0,T])} \leq C_T \|u_0 - v_0\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \cdot$$

□

2 Compactness property.

In this section, we study the compactness property of $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ solutions of (0.7)

$$-\Delta v_n = Q_0 + h_n e^{v_n} + \rho e^{v_n},$$

where $\rho > 0$, $Q_0 \in C^0(M)$ is a given function and $(h_n)_n \subseteq C^0(M)$.

2.1 Concentration-compactness.

We first give a concentration-compactness criterion in the spirit of Brezis-Merle [6] for solutions $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ of

$$-\Delta v_n = F_n,$$

where $(F_n)_n \subseteq L^1(M)$ is a sequence of functions such that $\displaystyle\int_M |F_n| dV \leq C$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ be a sequence of solutions of $-\Delta v_n = F_n$ with $(F_n)_n \subseteq L^1(M)$ such that $\displaystyle\int_M |F_n| dV \leq C$ for all $n \geq 0$. Then, we have up to a subsequence :*
-(i) either, there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$ such that

$$\int_M e^{\alpha(v_n - \bar{v}_n)} dV \leq C, \ \forall\ n \geq 0.$$

-(ii) or, there exist points $x^1, ..., x^L \in M$ such that, $\forall r > 0$ and $\forall i \in \{1, ..., L\}$, we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_r(x_i)} |F_n| dV \geq 4\pi.$$

Proof. The proof is well known. We give it for the sake of selfcontainedness. Let's suppose that (ii) doesn't hold, i.e.

$$\forall x \in M, \exists\ r_x > 0 \textit{ such that } \int_{B_{r_x}(x)} |F_n| dV \leq 4\pi - \delta_x, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\delta_x > 0$ and n large enough. Since M is compact, we can cover it by a finite number j of balls $B_i := B_{\frac{r_{x^i}}{2}}(x^i),\ i \in \{1, ..., j\}$. We set $B_{r_{x^i}}(x^i) = \tilde{B}_i$. Thus we have, for all $x \in B_i$,

$$v_n(x) - \bar{v}_n = \int_{\tilde{B}_i} F_n(y)G(x,y)dV(y) + \int_{M\setminus\tilde{B}_i} F_n(y)G(x,y)dV(y),$$

where $G : M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ is the Green function of the laplacian (see for example [1]). Multiplying by $\alpha > 0$ and taking the exponential, we find, $\forall x \in B_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left[\alpha(v_n(x) - \bar{v}_n)\right] &= \exp\left[\int_{\tilde{B}_i} \alpha F_n(y)G(x,y)dV(y)\right] \\ &\times \exp\left[\int_{M\setminus\tilde{B}_i} \alpha F_n(y)G(x,y)dV(y)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Since G is smooth outside its diagonal and $\int_M |F_n| dV \leq C$, there exists a constant C such that, for all $x \in B_i$,

$$\exp\left[\int_{M\setminus\tilde{B}_i} \alpha F_n(y)G(x,y)dV(y)\right] \leq C.$$

Combining the previous inequality, (2.2), and integrating on B_i, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_i} \exp\left[\alpha(v_n(x) - \bar{v}_n)\right] dV(x) \\ \leq\ & C \int_{B_i} \exp\left[\int_{\tilde{B}_i} \alpha F_n(y)G(x,y)dV(y)\right] dV(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Using Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp\left[\int_{\tilde{B}_i} \alpha|F_n(y)||G(x,y)|dV(y)\right] \\ \leq\ & \int_{\tilde{B}_i} \exp\left[\alpha \left\|F_n\right\|_{L^1(\tilde{B}_i)} |G(x,y)|\right] \frac{|F_n|(y)}{\|f_n\|_{L^1(\tilde{B}_i)}} dV(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Fubini's Theorem in (2.3), we obtain

$$\int_{B_i} \exp\left[\alpha(v_n(x) - \bar{v}_n)\right] dV(x) \leq C \sup_{y\in\in\tilde{B}_i} \int_{B_i} \exp\left[\alpha \left\|F_n\right\|_{L^1(\tilde{B}_i)} |G(x,y)|\right] dV(x).$$

Since $\left|G(x,y)-\frac{1}{2\pi}\log\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right|\leq C$, for all $x\neq y\in M$, and since $\|F_n\|_{L^1(\tilde{B_i})}\leq C$, we finally arrive at

$$\int_{B_i}\exp\left[\alpha(v_n(x)-\bar{v}_n)\right]dV(x)\leq C\int_M\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)^{\frac{\alpha\|F_n\|_{L^1(\tilde{B_i})}}{2\pi}}dV(x).$$

Using (2.1), we see that there exists $\alpha>1$ such that

$$\alpha\int_{\tilde{B_i}}|F_n|dV<4\pi.$$

Thus, we have

$$\int_{B_i}e^{\alpha(v_n-\bar{v}_n)}dV<+\infty\ ,\ \forall i\in\{1,...,j\}.$$

Since M is covered by a finite number of B_i, we obtain

$$\int_M e^{\alpha(v_n-\bar{v}_n)}dV\leq C.$$

□

Now, we prove that if the first alternative of the previous proposition occurs then the solutions of (0.7) are compact.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(v_n)_n\subseteq H^2(M)$ be a sequence of solutions of (0.7) such that $\int_M e^{v_n}dV=1$, $\forall n\geq 0$ and satisfying conditions* (0.8). *Suppose there exists constants $C>0$ and $\alpha>1$ such that*

$$\int_M e^{\alpha(v_n-\bar{v}_n)}dV\leq C.$$

Then there exists a constant C such that

$$\|v_n\|_{H^2(M)}\leq C,\ \forall n\geq 0.$$

Proof. From $\int_M e^{v_n}dV=1$ for all $n\geq 0$ and (0.8)(i), we have $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_M|h_n|\ e^{v_n}dV=0$. Thus, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|\rho e^{v_n}+Q_0+h_ne^{v_n}\|_{L^1(M)}\leq C.$$

Therefore we can apply Proposition 2.1 for $F_n=\rho e^{v_n}+Q_0+h_ne^{v_n}$. Since $\int_M e^{\alpha(v_n-\bar{v}_n)}dV\leq C$, using Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$1=e^{\bar{v}_n}\int_M e^{(v_n-\bar{v}_n)}dV\leq Ce^{\bar{v}_n}\left(\int_M e^{\alpha(v_n-\bar{v}_n)}dV\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\leq Ce^{\bar{v}_n}.$$

From the previous inequality and Jensen's inequality, we deduce that there exists a constant C such that

$$\int_M e^{\alpha v_n} dV \leq C. \tag{2.4}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and (2.4), we have, for $\gamma = \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha+1} > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |h_n e^{v_n}|^\gamma dV &\leq \left(\int_M h_n^2 e^{v_n} dV\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \left(\int_M e^{\alpha v_n} dV\right)^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

We also have, since $\gamma < \alpha$,

$$\int_M e^{\gamma v_n} dV \leq C \left(\int_M e^{\alpha v_n} dV\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}} \leq C. \tag{2.6}$$

We deduce, from (2.5) and (2.6), that $\|F_n\|_{L^\gamma(M)} \leq C$. Since $(v_n)_n$ satisfies $-\Delta v_n = F_n$, by elliptic regularity and Sobolev's embedding Theorem, there exist two constants C and $\beta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\|v_n\|_{C^\beta(M)} \leq C. \tag{2.7}$$

Using (2.7), we obtain that $\|e^{v_n}\|_{C^\beta(M)} \leq C$ and using estimate (0.8)(i), we get

$$\int_M |h_n e^{v_n}|^2 dV \leq C \|e^{v_n}\|_{C^\beta(M)} \int_M h_n^2 e^{v_n} dV \leq C.$$

Hence $\|F_n\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C$. Therefore, by elliptic regularity, there exists a constant C such that

$$\|v_n\|_{H^2(M)} \leq C.$$

$\square$

In the sequel, we will say that solutions $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ of (0.7) such that $\int_M e^{v_n} dV = 1$, $\forall n \geq 0$, and satisfying (0.8) are non-compact if the first alternative of Proposition 2.1 doesn't occur.

2.2 Asymptotic profil

We will study the asymptotic behaviour of non-compact solutions of equation (0.7). We follow the ideas of Malchiodi [22] for the study of compactness for solutions to a fourth-order equation. Thanks to Proposition 2.1, we can show that if $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ is a sequence of non-compact solutions of (0.7), then there exists $\beta \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{\rho}\right)$, radii $(r_n)_n, (\hat{r}_n)_n$ and points $(x_n)_n \subseteq M$ satisfying the following properties

$$\hat{r}_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0\ ;\ \frac{r_n}{\hat{r}_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0\ ;\ \int_{B_{r_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV = \beta;$$
$$\int_{B_{r_n}(y)} e^{v_n} dV < \frac{\pi}{\rho},\ \forall y \in B_{\hat{r}_n}(x_n). \tag{2.8}$$

Now, in order to understand the asymptotic behavious of $(v_n)_n$ near $(x_n)_n$, we introduce the following tranformation of $(v_n)_n$

$$\hat{v}_n = v_n(\exp_{x_n}(r_n.)) + 2\log r_n,$$

where $\exp_{x_n}$ stands for the application exponential centered in x_n. We obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ be a sequence of non-compact solutions of* (0.7) *such that $\int_M e^{v_n} dV = 1$, $\forall n \geq 0$ and satisfying* (0.8). *Then there exist a sequence of points $(x_n)_n$ and a sequence of real numbers $(r_n)_n$ such that*

$$\hat{v}_n = v_n(\exp_{x_n}(r_n.)) + 2\log r_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \hat{v}_\infty \ \text{ in } C^\alpha_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2),\ \alpha \in (0,1),$$

and weakly in $H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ where $\hat{v}_\infty \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is solution of the equation

$$-\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{R}^2}} \hat{v}_\infty = \rho e^{\hat{v}_\infty},$$

with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\hat{v}_\infty} dV_{g_{\mathbb{R}^2}} \leq 1$. Moreover, for any sequence $(\beta_n)_n$, $\beta_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$, there exists a sequence $(b_n)_n$, $b_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$, such that $b_n \leq \beta_n$, $\forall n \geq 0$ and

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{b_n r_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV = \frac{8\pi}{\rho}. \tag{2.9}$$

We will say that the sequence $(b_n)_n$ tends arbitrarily slowly to $+\infty$.

Remark 2.1. *Let $\hat{v}_\infty \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be defined as in the previous proposition. Then, the Classification Theorem of Chen-Li [11] implies that there exists $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that*

$$\hat{v}_\infty(x) = 2\log \frac{2\lambda}{1 + (\lambda|x - x_0|)^2} + \log \frac{2}{\rho}.$$

Proof. The proof follows the same steps than Malchiodi [22]. So we omit it. ☐

In view of the previous proposition, we now define the notion of blow-up sequence for $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ solutions of (0.7).

Definition 2.1. *Let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence of points of M and $(r_n)_n$ a sequence of positive real numbers such that $r_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$. We say that $(x_n, r_n)_n$ is a blow-up for $(v_n)_n$ solutions of (0.7) if the sequence defined by $\hat{v}_n(x) = v_n(\exp_{x_n}(r_n x)) + 2\log r_n$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $|x| < \frac{\delta}{r_n}$ and $\delta < i(M)$ (where $i(M)$ stands for the injectivity radius of M), converges in $C^\alpha(B_R^{\mathbb{R}^2})$, ($\alpha \in (0,1)$), for all $R > 0$ fixed, to a solution $\hat{v}_\infty \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of equation*

$$-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}\hat{v}_\infty = \rho e^{\hat{v}_\infty},$$

with $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{\hat{v}_n} dV_{\mathbb{R}^2} < +\infty$.

2.3 Integral Harnack type inequality.

Now, we will prove an integral Harnack type inequality for solutions $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ of equation $-\Delta v_n = Q_0 + f_n$ where $(f_n)_n \subseteq L^1(M)$ and $Q_0 \in C^0(M)$. In the following, $f_n^+ = \max(0, f_n)$ (resp. $f_n^- = -\min(0, f_n)$) stands for the positive (resp. negative) part of f_n.
We obtain the following integral Harnack type inequality.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ and $(f_n)_n \subseteq L^1(M)$ be two sequences of functions such that*

$$-\Delta v_n = Q_0 + f_n, \tag{2.10}$$

with $Q_0 \in C^0(M)$, $\|f_n\|_{L^1(M)} \le C_1$ and suppose that there exists $p > 1$ such that $\|f_n^-\|_{L^p(M)} \le C_2$, where C_1 and C_2 are two constants not depending on n. Then, for all $\beta > 0$, there exists a positive constant C_β depending on β, C_1 and C_2 such that for all x_0, $y_0 \in M$ and $r, R \in (0, i(M))$ satisfying $r \le R$, $|x_0 - y_0| \le \beta R$ and $\displaystyle\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} f_n^+ dV \le 2\pi$, we have

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} e^{v_n} dV \le C_\beta \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\frac{\|f_n^+\|_{L^1(B_r(y_0))}}{2\pi} - 2} \int_{B_r(y_0)} e^{v_n} dV.$$

Proof. We begin with some remarks on the Green function $G(.,.) : M \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ of the laplacian. Up to adding a constant to G, we can assume without loss of generality (see for example [1]) that $G(x,y) \ge 0$ for all $x, y \in M$. Note also that for all $q \in [1, +\infty)$ and $x \in M$, $\|G(x,.)\|_{L^q(M)} \le C_q$, where C_q is a constant depending on M and q. We will divide the proof of Proposition 2.4 into 2 steps.

Step 1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R(x_0)} e^{v_n(x)}dV(x) &\leq \exp\left(C+\bar{v}_n+\sup_{x\in B_R(x_0)}\int_{M\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)} G(x,y)f_n^+dV(y)\right)\\ &\times R^{2-\frac{\|f_n^+\|_{L^1(B_{2R}(x_0))}}{2\pi}}. \qquad (2.11)\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Step 1. Let $x \in B_R(x_0)$. Since $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ is a sequence of solutions of (2.10) and $\|G(x,.)\|_{L^1(M)} \leq C$, using Green's representation formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}v_n(x) &= \bar{v}_n+\int_M G(x,y)(f_n(y)+Q_0(y))dV(y)\\ &\leq \bar{v}_n+\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} G(x,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)\\ &+ \sup_{x\in B_R(x_0)}\int_{M\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)} G(x,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)+C.\end{aligned}$$

Thus integrating on $B_R(x_0)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_R(x_0)} e^{v_n(x)}dV(x) &\leq \exp\left(C+\bar{v}_n+\sup_{x\in B_R(x_0)}\int_{M\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)} G(x,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)\right)\\ &\times \int_{B_R(x_0)}\exp\left(\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} G(x,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)\right)dV(x). \qquad (2.12)\end{aligned}$$

Since $|G(x,y)-\frac{1}{2\pi}\log\frac{1}{|x-y|}| \leq C$ and $\|f_n\|_{L^1(M)} \leq C_1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_R(x_0)}\exp\left(\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} G(x,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)\right)dV(x)\\ \leq\ & C\sup_{y\in B_{2R}(x_0)}\left(\int_{B_R(x_0)}\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)^{\frac{\|f_n^+\|_{L^1(B_{2R}(x_0))}}{2\pi}}\right)dV(x)\\ \leq\ & R^{2-\frac{\|f_n^+\|_{L^1(B_{2R}(x_0))}}{2\pi}}. \qquad (2.13)\end{aligned}$$

We note that, since $\|f_n^+\|_{L^1(B_{2R}(x_0))} \leq 2\pi$, we have $R^{2-\frac{\|f_n^+\|_{L^1(B_{2R}(x_0))}}{2\pi}} < +\infty$. Finally, thanks to (2.12) and (2.13), we get estimate (2.11).

Step 2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_R(x_0)} e^{v_n(x)} dV(x) \\
\leq\ & C \exp\left(\sup_{x\in B_R(x_0)} \int_{M\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)} G(x,y) f_n^+ dV(y) \right) \\
\times\ & \exp\left(- \inf_{x\in B_r(y_0)} \int_{M\setminus B_r(y_0)} G(x,y) f_n^+(y) dV(y) \right) \\
\times\ & R^{2-\frac{\|f_n^+\|_{L^1(B_{2R}(x_0))}}{2\pi}} r^{\frac{\|f_n^+\|_{L^1(B_r(y_0))}}{2\pi}-2} \int_{B_r(y_0)} e^{v_n(x)} dV(x). \quad (2.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Step 2. Using the Green's representation formula, we have, for $x \in B_r(y_0)$,

$$v_n(x) \ = \ \bar{v}_n + \int_M G(x,y) f_n^+(y) dV(y) - \int_M G(x,y) \left(f_n^-(y) - Q_0(y)\right) dV(y).$$

Since, for all $q \geq 1$ and for all $x \in M$, $\|G(x,.)\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C_q$ where C_q is a constant depending on M and q, and since by hypothesis $\|f_n^-\|_{L^p(M)} \leq C_2$, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\int_M G(x,y) f_n^-(y) dV(y) \leq \left(\int_M G(x,y)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dV(y) \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|f_n^-\|_{L^p(M)} \leq C.$$

Thus, we get, for all $x \in B_r(y_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
v_n(x) \ \geq\ & \bar{v}_n + \int_M G(x,y) f_n^+(y) dV(y) - C \\
\geq\ & -C + \bar{v}_n + \int_{B_r(y_0)} G(x,y) f_n^+(y) dV(y) \\
+\ & \inf_{x\in B_r(y_0)} \int_{M\setminus B_r(y_0)} G(x,y) f_n^+(y) dV(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating e^{v_n} on $B_r(y_0)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_r(y_0)} e^{v_n(x)} dV(x) \ \geq\ & \exp\left(-C + \bar{v}_n + \inf_{x\in B_r(y_0)} \int_{M\setminus B_r(y_0)} G(x,y) f_n^+(y) dV(y) \right) \\
\times\ & \int_{B_r(y_0)} \exp\left(\int_{B_r(y_0)} G(x,y) f_n^+(y) dV(y) \right) dV(x). \quad (2.15)
\end{aligned}$$

Since, for $x \in B_r(y_0)$ and $y \in B_r(y_0)$, we have $\dfrac{1}{|x-y|} \geq \dfrac{1}{2r}$ and since

$|G(x,y)-\frac{1}{2\pi}\log\frac{1}{|x-y|}|\leq C$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_r(y_0)}\exp\left(\int_{B_r(y_0)}G(x,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)\right)dV(x)\\
\geq\ & C\int_{B_r(y_0)}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\log\frac{1}{2r}\int_{B_r(y_0)}f_n^+(y)dV(y)\right)dV(x)\\
\geq\ & C\left(\frac{1}{2r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{B_r(y_0)}f_n^+(y)dV(y)}\int_{B_r(y_0)}dV(x)\\
\geq\ & Cr^{2-\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{B_r(y_0)}f_n^+(y)dV(y)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (2.15) and the previous inequality, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\bar{v}_n}\ \leq\ & C\exp\left(-\inf_{x\in B_r(y_0)}\int_{M\setminus B_r(y_0)}G(x,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)\right)\\
\times\ & r^{\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{B_r(y_0)}f_n^+(y)dV(y)-2}\int_{B_r(y_0)}e^{v_n(x)}dV(x).
\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

Now, combining (2.11) and (2.16), we obtain (2.14).

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Thanks to (2.14), we see that, to prove the proposition, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x\in B_R(x_0)}\int_{M\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)}G(x,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)\ &-\ \inf_{x\in B_r(y_0)}\int_{M\setminus B_r(y_0)}G(x,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)\\
&\leq\ C_\beta+\frac{1}{2\pi}(\log R)\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)}f_n^+(y)dV(y)\\
&-\ \frac{1}{2\pi}(\log R)\int_{B_r(y_0)}f_n^+(y)dV(y),
\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

where C_β is a positive constant depending on β. Let $x_1\in B_R(x_0)$ and $x_2\in B_r(y_0)$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{x\in B_R(x_0)}\int_{M\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)}G(x,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)-\inf_{x\in B_r(y_0)}\int_{M\setminus B_r(y_0)}G(x,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)\\
=\ &\int_{M\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)}G(x_1,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)-\int_{M\setminus B_r(y_0)}G(x_2,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $B_r(y_0)\subset B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)$ and $B_{2R}(x_0)\subset B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)$, we can write

$$\int_{M\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)}G(x_1,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)-\int_{M\setminus B_r(y_0)}G(x_2,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)=I+II+III,$$

where

$$I = \int_{M\setminus B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)} G(x_1,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y) - \int_{M\setminus B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)} G(x_2,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y),$$

$$II = \int_{B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)} G(x_1,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y)$$

and

$$III = -\int_{B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)\setminus B_r(y_0)} G(x_2,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y).$$

We first estimate I. For $y \in M\setminus B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)$, one can easily check that there exists a constant C_β depending on β such that

$$C_\beta \le \frac{|y-x_2|}{|y-x_1|} \le \frac{1}{C_\beta}.$$

This implies that there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_\beta$ such that, for all $y \in M\setminus B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)$,

$$|G(x_1,y) - G(x_2,y)| \le C + \frac{1}{2\pi}\left|\log\frac{|x_2-y|}{|x_1-y|}\right| \le \tilde{C}_\beta.$$

Therefore, since $\|f_n\|_{L^1(M)} \le C_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{M\setminus B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)} G(x_1,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y) - \int_{M\setminus B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)} G(x_2,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y) \\ &\le \tilde{C}_\beta \|f_n\|_{L^1(M)} \le \tilde{C}_\beta C_1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Let us now estimate II. Since $x_1 \in B_R(x_0)$, for $y \in B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)$, we note that $|x_1 - y| \ge R$. Thus recalling the asymptotic of the Green's function and that $\|f_n\|_{L^1(M)} \le C_1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \int_{B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)} G(x_1,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y) \\ &\le \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\log\frac{1}{R}\right)\int_{B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)\setminus B_{2R}(x_0)} f_n^+(y)dV(y) + CC_1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Finally, noting that for $x_2 \in B_r(y_0)$ and $y \in B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)\setminus B_r(y_0)$, we have $|x_2 - y| \le 5(\beta+1)R$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} III &= -\int_{B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)\setminus B_r(y_0)} G(x_2,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y) \\ &\le \frac{1}{2\pi}(\log R)\int_{B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)\setminus B_r(y_0)} f_n^+(y)dV(y) + C. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Therefore, from (2.18), (2.19) and (2.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M\backslash B_{2R}(x_0)} G(x_1,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y) - \int_{M\backslash B_r(y_0)} G(x_2,y)f_n^+(y)dV(y) \\
\leq \;& C_\beta - \frac{1}{2\pi}(\log R)\int_{B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)\backslash B_{2R}(x_0)} f_n^+(y)dV(y) \\
+ \;& \frac{1}{2\pi}(\log R)\int_{B_{4(\beta+1)R}(x_0)\backslash B_r(y_0)} f_n^+(y)dV(y) \\
\leq \;& C_\beta + \frac{1}{2\pi}(\log R)\int_{B_{2R}(x_0)} f_n^+(y)dV(y) \\
- \;& \frac{1}{2\pi}(\log R)\int_{B_r(y_0)} f_n^+(y)dV(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.17) holds. This achieves the proof of Proposition 2.4.

□

2.4 Proof of Theorem 0.5.

To prove Theorem 0.5, we will need three lemma. We first prove that the integral of e^{v_n} on some annulus, centered in a blow-up point, tends to 0 at infinity.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(x_n,r_n)_n$ be a blow-up for $(v_n)_n$, and $(R_n)_n$, $(S_n)_n$ two sequences of positive numbers satisfying the following properties :*

$$0 < 2R_n \leq \frac{S_n}{4}, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\frac{R_n}{r_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty, \tag{2.22}$$

and there exists $\bar{C} > 0$ (not depending on n) such that

$$\forall B_s(y) \subset B_{S_n}(x_n)\backslash B_{R_n}(x_n),\ if \int_{B_s(y)} e^{v_n}dV \geq \frac{\pi}{\rho}\ then\ s \geq \bar{C}|y-x_n|. \tag{2.23}$$

Then, we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{B_{\frac{S_n}{2}}(x_n)\backslash B_{2R_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n}dV = 0. \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. Since (x_n,r_n) is a blow-up for $(v_n)_n$, then, from Proposition 2.3, we can choose $(b_n)_n$ such that

$$\frac{b_n r_n}{R_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0, \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{B_{b_nr_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n}dV = \frac{8\pi}{\rho}. \tag{2.26}$$

We will divide the proof into two steps. In the first one, we will obtain an upper bound of $\int_{B_{2R}(x_n)\backslash B_R(x_n)} e^{v_n}dV$ for $2R_n \le R \le \frac{S_n}{4}$. In the second step, we will establish (2.24) by dividing the annulus $B_{\frac{S_n}{2}}(x_n)\backslash B_{2R_n}(x_n)$ into smaller annuli on which the estimate of the first step will hold.

Step 1. *Let $R > 0$ be such that $2R_n \le R \le \frac{S_n}{4}$, then we have*

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_n)\backslash B_R(x_n)} e^{v_n}dV \le C\left(\frac{b_nr_n}{R}\right)^{2+o_n(1)},$$

where $o_n(1) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$.

Proof of Step 1. First, for $y \in B_{2R}(x_n)\backslash B_R(x_n)$, we have

$$B_{\frac{\bar{C}R}{8}}(y) \subset B_{S_n}(x_n)\backslash B_{R_n}(x_n),$$

where $\bar{C}$ is the constant defined in (2.23) (without loss of generality, we can assume that $\bar{C} < 1$). Thus we deduce from (2.23) that, for $y \in B_{2R}(x_n)\backslash B_R(x_n)$,

$$\int_{B_{\frac{\bar{C}R}{8}}(y)} e^{v_n}dV < \frac{\pi}{\rho}. \tag{2.27}$$

On the other hand, $B_{2R}(x_n)\backslash B_R(x_n)$ can be covered by a finite number (not depending on n) of balls $B_{\frac{\bar{C}R}{16}}(y_i)$ where $y_i \in B_{2R}(x_n)\backslash B_R(x_n)$. We will use Proposition 2.4 for $f_n = \rho e^{v_n} + h_ne^{v_n}$, $x_0 = y_i$, $y_0 = x_n$, $r = b_nr_n$ and R (the one defined in Proposition 2.4) substituted by $\tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{C}R}{16}$. Let's show that the hypothesis of the proposition hold true. Since $\int_M e^{v_n}dV = 1$, $\forall n \ge 0$, we have, using Holder's inequality and (0.8)(i), that

$$\|f_n\|_{L^1(M)} \le \rho\int_M e^{v_n}dV + \int_M |h_n|e^{v_n}dV \le \rho + \left(\int_M |h_n|^2e^{v_n}dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C.$$

Since $y_i \in A_{R,2R}(x_n)$, there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that

$$|y_i - x_n| \le 2R \le \beta\tilde{R}.$$

It is easy to check, using (2.25), that

$$r = b_nr_n \le \tilde{R} = \frac{\bar{C}R}{16}.$$

Therefore, it only remains to check that there exists $p > 1$ such that

$$\|f_n^-\|_{L^p(M)} \leq C,$$

and

$$\int_{B_{\frac{\bar{C}R}{8}}(y_i)} f_n^+ dV \leq 2\pi.$$

The first inequality is a direct consequence of (0.8)(ii). The second one follows from (2.27) and (0.8)(i) which imply, using Holder's inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{\frac{\bar{C}R}{8}}(y_i)} f_n^+ dV &\leq \rho \int_{B_{\frac{\bar{C}R}{8}}(y_i)} e^{v_n} dV + \left(\int_M h_n^2 e^{v_n} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \pi + o_n(1) \leq 2\pi.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, Proposition 2.4 gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{\frac{\bar{C}R}{16}}(y_i)} e^{v_n} dV &\leq C \left(\frac{b_n r_n}{R}\right)^{\frac{\|f_n^+\|_{L^1(B_{r_n b_n}(x_n))}}{2\pi} - 2} \int_{B_{b_n r_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{b_n r_n}{R}\right)^{\frac{\|f_n^+\|_{L^1(B_{r_n b_n}(x_n))}}{2\pi} - 2}.\end{aligned}$$

From (2.26), since $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{b_n r_n}(x_n)} |h_n| e^{v_n} dV = 0$ and $\rho e^{v_n} \leq f_n^+ \leq \rho e^{v_n} + |h_n| e^{v_n}$, we get

$$\frac{\|f_n^+\|_{L^1(B_{r_n b_n}(x_n))}}{2\pi} - 2 = 2 + o_n(1).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_{B_{\frac{\bar{C}R}{16}}(y_i)} e^{v_n} dV \leq C \left(\frac{b_n r_n}{R}\right)^{2+o_n(1)}.$$

Since $B_{2R}(x_n) \backslash B_R(x_n)$ is covered by a finite number not depending on n of balls $B_{\frac{\bar{C}R}{16}}(y_i)$, we finally derive that

$$\int_{B_{2R}(x_n)\backslash B_R(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV \leq C \left(\frac{b_n r_n}{R}\right)^{2+o_n(1)}. \tag{2.28}$$

Step 2. *We have*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{\frac{S_n}{2}}(x_n)\backslash B_{2R_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV = 0.$$

Proof of Step 2. We apply Step 1 to $\tilde{R}_j = 2^j(2R_n)$, $0 \leq j \leq j_n$ with j_n such that $2^{j_n} \in \left[\dfrac{S_n}{16R_n}, \dfrac{S_n}{8R_n}\right]$. By definition, we see that $\bigcup_{j=0}^{j_n} B_{2\tilde{R}_j}(x_n)\backslash B_{\tilde{R}_j}(x_n)$ covers $B_{\frac{S_n}{4}}(x_n)\backslash B_{2R_n}(x_n)$. We deduce that

$$\int_{B_{\frac{S_n}{2}}(x_n)\backslash B_{2R_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV \leq \sum_{j=0}^{j_n} \int_{B_{2\tilde{R}_j}(x_n)\backslash B_{\tilde{R}_j}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV + \int_{B_{\frac{S_n}{2}}(x_n)\backslash B_{\frac{S_n}{4}}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV.$$

From Step 1, since $2R_n \leq \tilde{R}_j \leq \dfrac{S_n}{4}$, for all $0 \leq j \leq j_n$,

$$\int_{B_{\frac{S_n}{2}}(x_n)\backslash B_{2R_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{j_n} \left(\frac{b_n r_n}{2^j 2R_n}\right)^{2+o_n(1)} + C\left(\frac{b_n r_n}{\frac{S_n}{4}}\right)^{2+o_n(1)},$$

and since by definition , $\dfrac{S_n}{4} \geq 2R_n$, we deduce that

$$\int_{B_{\frac{S_n}{2}}(x_n)\backslash B_{2R_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV \quad \leq \quad C\left(\frac{b_n r_n}{R_n}\right)^{2+o_n(1)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2j} + 1\right) \leq C\left(\frac{b_n r_n}{R_n}\right)^{2+o_n(1)}.$$

From (2.25), $\dfrac{b_n r_n}{R_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$, we finally arrive at

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{\frac{S_n}{2}}(x_n)\backslash B_{2R_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV = 0.$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.1 is established. ☐

Now, we will prove that, assuming there exists k blow-up for $(v_n)_n$, there is no volume on annuli centered in these points.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(x_n^1, r_n^1)_n, \ldots, (x_n^k, r_n^k)_n$ be k blow-up for $(v_n)_n$ with $k \geq 2$, and suppose there exist sequences $(R_n^1)_n, \ldots, (R_n^k)_n$ satisfying the following properties :*
1)

$$\frac{R_n^i}{r_n^i} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty, \ \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}. \tag{2.29}$$

2)

$$\frac{R_n^i}{\inf\limits_{i\neq j\in\{1,\ldots,k\}} |x_n^i - x_n^j|} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0, \ \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}. \tag{2.30}$$

3) If $D_n = \max_{i\neq j\in\{1,\dots,k\}} |x_n^i - x_n^j|$, *we suppose that there exists a constant* $\bar{C} > 0$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall B_s(y) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{4D_n}(x_n^i)\backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i),\ \textit{if}\ \int_{B_s(y)} e^{v_n} dV \geq \frac{\pi}{\rho} \\ &\textit{then}\ s \geq \bar{C} d_n(y) = \bar{C} \inf_{i\in\{1,\dots,k\}} |y - x_n^i|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = 0.$$

Proof. We will proceed by induction on k. For $k = 2$, we have $D_n = |x_n^1 - x_n^2|$. From (2.29) and (2.30), we get

$$\frac{R_n^1}{r_n^1} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty,$$

and

$$\frac{R_n^1}{D_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Moreover, if $B_s(y) \subset B_{\frac{D_n}{2}}(x_n^1)\backslash B_{R_n^1}(x_n^1) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^2 B_{4D_n}(x_n^i)\backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^2 B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i)$ then $d_n(y) = |y - x_n^1|$. Therefore, using (2.31), Lemma 2.1 for $x_n = x_n^1$ with $R_n = R_n^1$ and $S_n = \frac{D_n}{2}$, implies that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{\frac{D_n}{4}}(x_n^1)\backslash B_{2R_n^1}(x_n^1)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.32}$$

In the same way, we also have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{\frac{D_n}{4}}(x_n^2)\backslash B_{2R_n^2}(x_n^2)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.33}$$

We can cover $\bigcup_{i=1}^2 B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^2 B_{\frac{D_n}{4}}(x_n^i)$ with a finite number N (not depending on n) of balls $B_{\frac{\bar{C}D_n}{16}}(y_j)$, where $\bar{C}$ is the constant defined in (2.31) and $y_j \in \bigcup_{i=1}^2 B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^2 B_{\frac{D_n}{4}}(x_n^i)$, such that

$$B_{\frac{\bar{C}D_n}{16}}(y_j) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^2 B_{4D_n}(x_n^i)\backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^2 B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i).$$

It is easy to check, by using (2.31), that

$$\int_{B_{\frac{\bar{C}D_n}{8}}(y_j)} e^{v_n}dV \leq \frac{\pi}{\rho}.$$

Now following the same argument as to get (2.28), we obtain

$$\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} B_{\frac{D_n}{4}}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV \leq C\left(\frac{b_n r_n^1}{D_n}\right)^{2+o_n(1)}, \tag{2.34}$$

where $b_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$ satisfies $\dfrac{b_n r_n^1}{R_n^1} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$. Thus, since $\dfrac{b_n r_n^1}{D_n} = \dfrac{b_n r_n^1}{R_n^1}\dfrac{R_n^1}{D_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} B_{\frac{D_n}{4}}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV = 0. \tag{2.35}$$

So, from (2.32), (2.33) and (2.35), we find

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{2} B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV = 0.$$

Therefore the lemma holds for $k = 2$. Now suppose that the lemma holds for k. Let $d_n = \inf\limits_{i\neq j\in\{1,\ldots,k+1\}} |x_n^i - x_n^j|$. We will consider two cases depending on the value of $\dfrac{d_n}{D_n}$ when $n \to +\infty$.

First case : $\dfrac{d_n}{D_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\not\longrightarrow} 0$.

In this case, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $d_n \geq CD_n$. Thus, we have

$$C \leq \frac{d_n}{D_n} \leq 1. \tag{2.36}$$

Using (2.36), we can cover $\bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{\frac{D_n}{4}}(x_n^i)$ with a finite number N (not depending on n) of balls $B_{\frac{\bar{C}D_n}{16}}(y_j)$, where $\bar{C}$ is the constant defined in (2.31) and $y_j \in \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{\frac{D_n}{4}}(x_n^i)$, such that

$$B_{\frac{\bar{C}D_n}{16}}(y_j) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{4D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i).$$

Following the same arguments as the one used for the case $k = 2$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV = 0.$$

Let's now consider the second case.

Second case : $\dfrac{d_n}{D_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$.

We can assume, up to relabelling sequences $(x_n^i)_n$, that $d_n = |x_n^1 - x_n^{k+1}|$. We define the set X_1 of blow-up points for which the distance to x_n^1 is comparable to d_n, that is to say

$$X_1 = \left\{(x_n^j)_n : \exists C_j > 0 \textit{ such that } |x_n^j - x_n^1| \leq C_j d_n,\ \forall n \geq 0\right\}.$$

Notice that $(x_n^1)_n$ and $(x_n^{k+1})_n$ are in X_1 and that $card(X_1) < k+1$ since we assume $\dfrac{d_n}{D_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$. Up to relabelling sequences $(x_n^j)_n$, for $j \neq 1, k+1$, we can assume that

$$X_1 = \left\{(x_n^j)_n, (x_n^{k+1})_n \ :\ j \in \{1, \ldots, l_0+1\}\right\},$$

with $0 \leq l_0 \leq k-2$. Let $C = \max\{C_j \ :\ j \in \{2, \ldots, l_0+1, k+1\}$. We have

$$|x_n^1 - x_n^j| \leq C d_n,\ \forall j \in \{2, \ldots, l_0+1, k+1\}, \tag{2.37}$$

and

$$\frac{|x_n^1 - x_n^j|}{d_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty,\ \forall j \in \{l_0+2, \ldots, k\}. \tag{2.38}$$

One can check that the induction hypothesis of the lemma holds for the sequences

$$(x_n^1, r_n^1)_n, (x_n^{l_0+2}, r_n^{l_0+2})_n, \ldots, (x_n^k, r_n^k)_n$$

associated to resp. $(2Cd_n)_n, (R_n^{l_0+2})_n, \ldots, (R_n^k)_n$. It follows that

$$I = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\bigcup_{i\in\{1,l_0+2,\ldots,k\}} B_{2\tilde{D}_n}(x_n^i)\setminus\left(\bigcup_{i=l_0+2}^{k} B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)\cup B_{4Cd_n}(x_n^1)\right)} e^{v_n} dV = 0, \tag{2.39}$$

where $\tilde{D}_n = \max\limits_{i\neq j\in\{1,l_0+2,\ldots,k\}} |x_n^i - x_n^j|$. Let us show that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{4Cd_n}(x_n^1)\setminus\bigcup_{i\in\{1,\ldots,l_0+1,k+1\}} B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = 0.$$

One can check that the hypothesis to apply Lemma 2.1 for x_n^i, $i \in \{1, \ldots, l_0+1, k+1\}$, with $R_n = R_n^i$, $S_n = \dfrac{d_n}{2}$ hold. Thus, we have, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, l_0+1, k+1\}$,

$$II_i = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{A_{2R_n^i, \frac{d_n}{4}}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.40}$$

On the other hand, proceeding as in the case $k=2$, we can cover the set

$$B_{4Cd_n}(x_n^1)\setminus \bigcup_{i\in\{1,\ldots,l_0+1,k+1\}} B_{\frac{d_n}{4}}(x_n^i)$$

by a finite number N not depending on n of balls such that

$$B_{\frac{\bar{C}d_n}{16}}(y_j) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{4D_n}(x_n^i) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i),$$

and

$$\int_{B_{\frac{\bar{C}d_n}{8}}(y_j)} e^{v_n} dV \leq \frac{\pi}{\rho}.$$

Therefore, we obtain, in a similar way as for (2.34), that there exists a sequence $(b_n)_n$, $b_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$ arbitrarily slowly, such that

$$\frac{b_n r_n^1}{R_n^1} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0, \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$\int_{B_{\frac{\bar{C}d_n}{8}}(y_j)} e^{v_n} dV \leq C\left(\frac{b_n r_n^1}{d_n}\right)^{2+o_n(1)}.$$

From (2.30) and (2.41), we have $\frac{b_n r_n^1}{d_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$. Hence, since

$$B_{4Cd_n}(x_n^1) \setminus \bigcup_{i\in\{1,\dots,l_0+1,k+1\}} B_{\frac{d_n}{4}}(x_n^i)$$

is covered by a finite number N not depending on n of balls $B_{\frac{\bar{C}d_n}{16}}(y_j)$, we obtain that

$$III = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{4Cd_n}(x_n^1)\setminus \bigcup_{i\in\{1,\dots,l_0+1,k+1\}} B_{\frac{d_n}{4}}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.42}$$

Therefore, (2.39), (2.40) and (2.42) yield to

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\bigcup_{i\in\{1,l_0+2,\dots,k\}} B_{2\tilde{D}_n}(x_n^i)\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV \\ = \;& I + \sum_{i\in\{1,\dots,l_0+1,k+1\}} II_i + III = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

Now, we claim that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.44}$$

It is not difficult to check that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{\frac{3}{2}D_n}(x_n^i) \subset \bigcup_{i\in\{1,l_0+2,\dots,k\}} B_{2\tilde{D}_n}(x_n^i).$$

Therefore we deduce, from (2.43), that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{\frac{3}{2}D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV=0. \tag{2.45}$$

To prove (2.44), it is sufficient, from (2.45), to show that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{\frac{3}{2}D_n}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV=0.$$

In the same way as for the case $k=2$, we cover the set

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{\frac{3}{2}D_n}(x_n^i)$$

by a finite number N (not depending on n) of balls $B_{\frac{\bar{C}D_n}{16}}(y_j)$,

$$y_j\in\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{\frac{3}{2}D_n}(x_n^i),$$

such that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{B_{\frac{\bar{C}D_n}{8}}(y_j)} e^{v_n}dV=0.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{\frac{3}{2}D_n}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV=0. \tag{2.46}$$

Finally, from (2.45) and (2.46), we get

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2D_n}(x_n^i)\setminus\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV=0.$$

This achieves the proof of the lemma. ☐

Finally, we have :

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(x_n^1,r_n^1)_n,\dots,(x_n^k,r_n^k)_n$ be k blow-up for $(v_n)_n$ with $k\geq 2$, and suppose that there exist k sequences $(R_n^1)_n,\dots,(R_n^k)_n$ satisfying the following properties :*
1)

$$\frac{R_n^i}{r_n^i}\underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow}+\infty,\ \forall i\in\{1,\dots,k\}. \tag{2.47}$$

2)

$$\frac{R_n^i}{\inf\limits_{i\neq j\in\{1,\dots,k\}}|x_n^i - x_n^j|} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,\ \forall i\in\{1,\dots,k\}. \tag{2.48}$$

3) There exists $\bar{C}>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\forall B_s(y)\subset M\backslash\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i),\ if\ \int_{B_s(y)} e^{v_n}dV \geq \frac{\pi}{\rho}\\ &then\ s\geq \bar{C}d_n(y),\ where\ d_n(y)=\inf_{i\in\{1,\dots,k\}}|y-x_n^i|.\end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

Then

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{M\backslash\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV = 0.$$

Proof. The proof relies on the same technics as the ones used in the previous lemma, therefore we omit it. □

Proof of Theorem 0.5. Suppose that $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ is not compact, we claim that there exist k blow-up

$$(x_n^1, r_n^1)_n,\dots,(x_n^k, r_n^k)_n$$

with $1\leq k\leq [\frac{\rho}{8\pi}]$ (where $[\frac{\rho}{8\pi}]$ stands for the integer part of $\frac{\rho}{8\pi}$), and there exist k sequences $(R_n^1)_n,\dots,(R_n^k)_n>0$ satisfying the following properties :

1)

$$\frac{R_n^i}{r_n^i}\underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty,\ \forall i\in\{1,\dots,k\}. \tag{2.50}$$

2)

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV = \frac{8\pi}{\rho},\ \forall i\in\{1,\dots,k\}. \tag{2.51}$$

3)

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{R_n^i}{\inf\limits_{i\neq j\in\{1,\dots,k\}}|x_n^i - x_n^j|} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,\ \forall\ i\in\{1,\dots,k\}\ si\ k\neq 1,\\ &R_n^1 \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,\ if\ k=1.\end{aligned} \tag{2.52}$$

4) There exists $\bar{C}>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\forall B_s(y)\subset M\backslash\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i),\ if\ \int_{B_s(y)} e^{v_n}dV \geq \frac{\pi}{\rho}\\ &then\ s\geq \bar{C}d_n(y),\ where\ d_n(y)=\inf_{i\in\{1,\dots,k\}}|y-x_n^i|.\end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

If $(v_n)_n$ is not compact, from Proposition 2.3, there exists $(x_n^1, r_n^1)_n$ a blow-up for $(v_n)_n$. So there exists a sequence $(b_n)_n$ such that $b_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$ arbitrarily slowly, $b_n r_n^1 \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ and

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{b_n r_n^1}(x_n^1)} e^{v_n} dV = \frac{8\pi}{\rho}. \tag{2.54}$$

Since $b_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$ arbitrarily slowly, we can choose an other sequence $(\tilde{b}_n)_n$ such that $\tilde{b}_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$ arbitrarily slowly, $\tilde{b}_n \leq \frac{b_n}{2}$ and

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{\tilde{b}_n r_n^1}(x_n^1)} e^{v_n} dV = \frac{8\pi}{\rho}. \tag{2.55}$$

Thanks to (2.54) and (2.55), we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{b_n r_n^1}(x_n^1) \backslash B_{\tilde{b}_n r_n^1}(x_n^1)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.56}$$

Now, setting $2R_n^1 = b_n r_n^1$, we have, using (2.54), (2.56) and since $\tilde{b}_n \leq \frac{b_n}{2}$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{2R_n^1}(x_n^1) \backslash B_{R_n^1}(x_n^1)} e^{v_n} dV \leq \lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{b_n r_n^1}(x_n^1) \backslash B_{\tilde{b}_n r_n^1}(x_n^1)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.57}$$

Let k be the biggest integer such that there exist k blow-up $(x_n^1, r_n^1)_n, \dots, (x_n^k, r_n^k)_n$ and k sequences $(R_n^1)_n, \dots, (R_n^k)_n > 0$ satisfying (2.50), (2.51) and (2.52). From (2.52), we have $B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i) \cap B_{2R_n^j}(x_n^j) = \varnothing$, for all $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. We deduce, using (2.51), that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = \frac{8\pi k}{\rho}. \tag{2.58}$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV \leq \int_M e^{v_n} dV = 1. \tag{2.59}$$

It follows from (2.58) and (2.59) that $k \leq [\frac{\rho}{8\pi}]$.
Let's prove that (2.53) holds. Suppose, by contradiction, that (2.53) doesn't hold then there exist a sequence of points $(y_n)_n$ and a sequence of positive real numbers $(s_n)_n$ such that $B_{s_n}(y_n) \in M \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i)$,

$$\frac{s_n}{|y_n - x_n^i|} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0, \ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$$

and

$$\int_{B_{s_n}(y_n)} e^{v_n} dV \geq \frac{\pi}{\rho}.$$

Hence, there exist a sequence of points $(x_n)_n$ and a sequence of positive real numbers $(r_n)_n$ such that $B_{r_n}(x_n) \subset M\backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i)$ and

$$\int_{B_{r_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV = \max_{B_{r_n}(y)\subset M\backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i)} \int_{B_{r_n}(y)} e^{v_n} dV = \frac{\pi}{\rho}. \tag{2.60}$$

We claim that $\frac{r_n}{d_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$ where $d_n = \inf_{i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}} |x_n - x_n^i|$.
Suppose that $\frac{r_n}{d_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\not\longrightarrow} 0$. In this case, we will prove that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{r_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV = 0.$$

This will lead to a contradiction with (2.60). Since we assume that $\frac{r_n}{d_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\not\longrightarrow} 0$, there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$d_n \geq r_n \geq C_0 d_n. \tag{2.61}$$

We can suppose, up to relabelling the $(x_n^i)_n$, that $d_n = |x_n - x_n^1|$. Let X_1 be the set of points such that

$$X_1 = \left\{(x_n^i)_n : \exists C_i > 0 \textit{ such that } |x_n^i - x_n^1| \leq C_i d_n\right\}.$$

Up to relabelling the $(x_n^i)_n$, $i \neq 1$, we can assume that

$$X_1 = \left\{(x_n^1)_n, (x_n^2)_n, \ldots, (x_n^l)_n\right\},$$

with $1 \leq l \leq k$. Let

$$C = \max\left\{C_i \ : \ i \in \{1, \ldots, l\}\right\}. \tag{2.62}$$

Thus, we have

$$|x_n^1 - x_n^i| \leq C d_n, \ \forall i = 1, \ldots, l.$$

We will consider two cases depending if $l = 1$ or $l > 1$.
First case : $l = 1$:
One can check that the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1 hold for $R_n = R_n^1$ and $S_n = 8d_n$. This yields to

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{4d_n}(x_n^1)\backslash B_{2R_n^1}(x_n^1)} e^{v_n} dV = 0.$$

From (2.57),we get that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{B_{4d_n}(x_n^1)\backslash B_{R_n^1}(x_n^1)} e^{v_n}dV=0. \tag{2.63}$$

Since $B_{r_n}(x_n)\subseteq B_{4d_n}(x_n^1)\backslash B_{R_n^1}(x_n^1)$, (2.63) implies

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{B_{r_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n}dV=0.$$

This yields to a contradiction with (2.60). Therefore we deduce that $\frac{r_n}{d_n}\underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow}0$.

Second case : $l>1$.

Similarly to (2.57), we see that, for each $(x_n^i)_n$, $i\in\{1,\dots,l\}$, there exists a sequence $(R_n^i)_n$ such that

$$\frac{R_n^i}{r_n^i}\underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow}+\infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_{B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)\backslash B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n}dV=0. \tag{2.64}$$

We will apply Lemma 2.2 to sequences $(x_n^1)_n,\dots,(x_n^l)_n$. We notice that, from (2.52), we have, for all $i\in\{1,\dots,l\}$,

$$\frac{R_n^i}{\inf\limits_{j\neq i\in\{1,\dots,l\}}|x_n^i-x_n^j|}\underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow}0.$$

Now we claim that there exists a constant $\bar{C}>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\forall B_s(y)\subset\bigcup_{i=1}^{l}B_{16\tilde{C}d_n}(x_n^i)\backslash\bigcup_{i=1}^{l}B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i),\ \textit{if}\ \int_{B_s(y)}e^{v_n}dV\geq\frac{\pi}{\rho}\\ &\textit{then}\ s\geq\bar{C}d_n(y)=\bar{C}\inf_{i\in\{1,\dots,l\}}|y-x_n^i|,\end{aligned} \tag{2.65}$$

where $\tilde{C}=\max\left\{\frac{1}{2},C\right\}$, C is the constant defined in (2.62). Let

$$B_s(y)\subset\bigcup_{i=1}^{l}B_{16\tilde{C}d_n}(x_n^i)\backslash\bigcup_{i=1}^{l}B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i)$$

be such that $\displaystyle\int_{B_s(y)}e^{v_n}dV\geq\frac{\pi}{\rho}$. From (2.60), we deduce that $s\geq r_n\geq C_0d_n$.

On the other hand, since $B_s(y)\subset\bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{l}B_{16\tilde{C}d_n}(x_n^i)$, we have $\inf\limits_{i\in\{1,\dots,l\}}|y-x_n^i|\leq$

$16\tilde{C}d_n$. Thus we get that $s \geq \dfrac{C_0}{16\tilde{C}} \inf_{i\in\{1,\dots,l\}} |y - x_n^i|$. Therefore, we can take $\bar{C} = \dfrac{C_0}{16\tilde{C}}$. So (2.65) holds.

Set $\tilde{D}_n = \max_{i,j\in\{1,\dots,l\}} |x_n^i - x_n^j|$. We note that, since C is defined in (2.62), we have

$$Cd_n \leq \tilde{D}_n \leq 2Cd_n. \tag{2.66}$$

This implies that $4\tilde{D}_n \leq 8Cd_n$. Thus we can apply Lemma 2.2. This yields to

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^l B_{2\tilde{D}_n}(x_n^i)\setminus\cup_{i=1}^l B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.67}$$

From (2.65) and since, from (2.66), $8\dfrac{\tilde{C}}{C}\tilde{D}_n \leq 16\tilde{C}d_n$, reasoning in the same way as for obtaining (2.46) of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^l B_{4\frac{\tilde{C}}{C}\tilde{D}_n}(x_n^i)\setminus\cup_{i=1}^l B_{2\tilde{D}_n}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.68}$$

It follows, from (2.67) and (2.68), that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^l B_{4\frac{\tilde{C}}{C}\tilde{D}_n}(x_n^i)\setminus\cup_{i=1}^l B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.69}$$

From (2.66), we deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\cup_{i=1}^l B_{4\tilde{C}d_n}(x_n^i)\setminus\cup_{i=1}^l B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.70}$$

Since, by (2.61), $r_n \leq d_n$, we have $|x_n - x_n^1| + r_n \leq 2d_n \leq 4\tilde{C}d_n$. Thus we deduce that

$$B_{r_n}(x_n) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} B_{4\tilde{C}d_n}(x_n^i) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i). \tag{2.71}$$

On the other hand, from (2.64), we have, for all $i \in \{1,\dots,l\}$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)\setminus B_{R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = 0. \tag{2.72}$$

Combining (2.70), (2.71) and (2.72), we deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{r_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV = 0.$$

This yields to a contradiction with (2.60). Therefore, we have proved that

$$\frac{r_n}{d_n} \xrightarrow[n\to+\infty]{} 0. \tag{2.73}$$

Now, it is easy to see, using (2.60) and proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 2.3, that $(x_n^{k+1})_n = (x_n)_n$ and $(r_n^{k+1})_n = (r_n)_n$ are a blow-up for $(v_n)_n$. Moreover, since $(x_n, r_n)_n$ is a blow-up, there exists a sequence $(b_n)_n$, $b_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$ arbitrarily slowly such that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{B_{b_n r_n}(x_n)} e^{v_n} dV = \frac{8\pi}{\rho}.$$

So, by setting $2R_n^{k+1} = b_n r_n^{k+1}$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_{2R_n^{k+1}}(x_n^{k+1})} e^{v_n} dV = \frac{8\pi}{\rho}.$$

From (2.73) and since $(b_n)_n$, $b_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$ arbitrarily slowly, we also can assume without loss of generality that

$$\frac{R_n^{k+1}}{\inf\limits_{i\in\{1,\dots,k\}} |x_n^{k+1} - x_n^i|} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Therefore, the sequences $(x_n^1, r_n^1)_n, \dots, (x_n^{k+1}, r_n^{k+1})_n$ and $(R_n^1)_n, \dots, (R_n^{k+1})_n$ satisfy (2.50), (2.51) and (2.52). We obtain, this way, a contradiction with the maximality of k. Therefore, there exist k blow-up

$$(x_n^1, r_n^1)_n, \dots, (x_n^k, r_n^k)_n$$

with $1 \le k \le [\frac{\rho}{8\pi}]$ (where $[\frac{\rho}{8\pi}]$ stands for the integer part of $\frac{\rho}{8\pi}$), and there exist k sequences $(R_n^1)_n, \dots, (R_n^k)_n > 0$ satisfying (2.50), (2.51) and (2.52). If $k = 1$, then, thanks to (2.50), (2.51) and (2.52), the hypothesis of Lemma 2.1 for $x_n = x_n^1$, $R_n = R_n^1$ and $S > 0$ a real number such that $M \subset B_{\frac{S}{2}}(x_n^1)$ hold. Thus, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{M \setminus B_{2R_n^1}(x_n^1)} e^{v_n} dV = 0.$$

This establishes Theorem 0.5 in the case $k = 1$.
If $k > 1$, then, thanks to (2.50), (2.51) and (2.52), the hypothesis of Lemma 2.3 hold. Hence, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{M \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_{2R_n^i}(x_n^i)} e^{v_n} dV = 0.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 0.5.

3 Convergence of the flow.

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorems 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4.

3.1 Proof of Theorem 0.2.

In this subsection, we will prove Theorem 0.2. Let $v : M \times [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the global solution of (0.4). In all this subsection, we will assume without loss of generality that $\int_M e^{v(t)} dV = 1$, $\forall t \geq 0$. In the following, C will denote constants not depending on t.

In order to prove Theorem 0.2, we need to bound uniformly $\|v(t)\|_{H^2(M)}$, $t \geq 0$, in time. For this, we will first bound $\|v(t)\|_{H^1(M)}$, $t \geq 0$, uniformly in time. To bound $\|v(t)\|_{H^1(M)}$, we will use the compactness Corollary 0.1. More precisely, by using Corollary 0.1, we will first prove that there exists a sequence $(t_n)_n$, $t_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$, such that

$$\|v(t_n)\|_{H^2(M)} \leq C, \ \forall n \geq 0.$$

Therefore we aim to prove that there exists a sequence $(t_n)_n$, $t_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$, such that, setting $v_n = v(t_n)$ and $h_n = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t_n)$, the sequence $(v_n)_n \subseteq H^2(M)$ satisfies conditions (0.8) of Corollary 0.1. First, we show that there exists a sequence $(t_n)_n$, $t_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$, such that (0.8)(ii) is satisfied for $v_n = v(t_n)$. We recall that, for all $T > 0$,

$$\int_0^T \int_M \left(\frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t}\right)^2 e^{v(t)} dV dt = J_\rho(v(0)) - J_\rho(v(T)).$$

Using hypothesis (3.5), we deduce that there exists a sequence $(t_n)_n$ such that $n \leq t_n \leq n+1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_M |\frac{\partial v(t_n)}{\partial t}|^2 e^{v(t_n)} dV = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

The following proposition shows that conditions (0.8)(i) of Corollary 0.1 is satisfied.

Proposition 3.1. *We have*

$$-\frac{\partial e^{v(x,t)}}{\partial t} + \rho e^{v(x,t)} \geq -C, \ \forall t \geq 0 \text{ and } x \in M. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. We set

$$R(x,t) = e^{-v(x,t)} \left(-\Delta v(x,t) + Q(x)\right).$$

We can rewrite the equation (0.4) satisfied by v in the following way

$$\frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\left(R(x,t) - \rho\right).$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial R(x,t)}{\partial t} \quad = \quad R(x,t)\left(R(x,t)-\rho\right)+e^{-v(x,t)}\Delta R(x,t).$$

Set $R_{\min}(t)=\min\limits_{x\in M} R(x,t)$. Using the maximum principle, we find

$$\frac{\partial R_{\min}(t)}{\partial t} \quad \geq \quad -\rho R_{\min}(t).$$

Integrating between 0 and t, we have

$$R_{\min}(t)\geq \exp\left(-\rho t\right)R_{\min}(0).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\partial e^{v(x,t)}}{\partial t}+\rho e^{v(x,t)} \\ \geq \quad & -\left|R_{\min}(0)\right|\exp\left(-\rho t+v(x,t)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Set $v_{\max}(t)=\max\limits_{x\in M} v(x,t)$. By the maximum principle, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e^{v_{\max}(t)} \quad \leq \quad \rho\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\left\|Q\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}+e^{v_{\max}(t)}\right).$$

Integrating between 0 and t, we get

$$e^{v_{\max}(t)-\rho t}\leq e^{v_{\max}(0)}+\frac{1}{\rho}\left\|Q\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}-\frac{1}{\rho}\left\|Q\right\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}e^{-\rho t}\leq C. \tag{3.4}$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.4), we finally obtain

$$-\frac{\partial e^{v(x,t)}}{\partial t}+\rho e^{v(x,t)}\geq -C\left|R_{\min}(0)\right|\geq -C.$$

□

We are now in position to bound $\left\|v(t)\right\|_{H^1(M)}$, $t\geq 0$, uniformly in time.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $\rho\in(8k\pi,8(k+1)\pi)$, $k\in\mathbb{N}^*$ *and* $v(t):M\to\mathbb{R}$ *be the solution of* (0.4). *Suppose that*

$$J_\rho(v(t))\geq -C,\ \forall t\geq 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Then we have

$$\left\|v(t)\right\|_{H^1(M)}\leq C,\ \forall t\geq 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Thanks to (3.1) and (3.2), by using Corollary 0.1, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|v(t_n)\|_{H^2(M)} \leq C,$$

where $(t_n)_n$ is the sequence defined in (3.1). By Sobolev's embedding Theorem, it follows that $\|v(t_n)\|_{C^\alpha(M)} \leq C$, $\forall \alpha \in (0,1)$. Since $t_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$, for all $t \geq 0$ large enough, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t_n \leq t \leq t_{n+1}$. Moreover, since $|t_{n+1} - t_n| \leq 2$, we have $|t - t_n| \leq 2$. We claim that, for all $p > 1$,

$$\int_M e^{pv(t)} dV \leq C, \ \forall t \geq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Since $v(t)$ satisfies (0.4), integrating by parts and using Young's inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_M e^{pv(t)} dV &= -p(p-1) \int_M |\nabla v(t)|^2 e^{(p-1)v(t)} dV - p \int_M Q e^{(p-1)v(t)} dV \\
&+ p\frac{\rho}{a} \int_M e^{pv(t)} dV \\
&\leq C \int_M e^{(p-1)v(t)} dV + p\frac{\rho}{a} \int_M e^{pv(t)} dV \\
&\leq C + C \int_M e^{pv(t)} dV.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $y(t) = \displaystyle\int_M e^{pv(t)} dV$ and integrating the previous inequality between t_n and t, it follows that

$$y(t) \leq e^{C(t-t_n)} y(t_n) + C\left(e^{C(t-t_n)} - 1\right).$$

Since $\|v(t_n)\|_{C^\alpha(M)} \leq C$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and $|t - t_n| \leq 2$, we have that (3.7) is satisfied. Let's fix $t \geq 0$ and set

$$M_\varepsilon = \left\{ x \in M : e^{v(x,t)} < \varepsilon \right\},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a real number which will be determined later. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 = \int_M e^{v(t)} dV &= \int_{M_\varepsilon} e^{v(t)} dV + \int_{M\backslash M_\varepsilon} e^{v(t)} dV \\
&\leq \varepsilon |M_\varepsilon| + |M\backslash M_\varepsilon|^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_M e^{pv(t)} dV \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Taking $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2|M|}$ and from (3.7), we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq C |M\backslash M_\varepsilon|^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since $p > 1$, we get that

$$|M \backslash M_\varepsilon| \geq \left(\frac{1}{2C}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} > 0. \tag{3.9}$$

We set $A = M \backslash M_\varepsilon$. By definition of A, we have

$$\int_A v(t) dV \geq \ln\left(\frac{1}{2|M|}\right) |A|. \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_A v(t) dV \leq \int_A e^{v(t)} dV \leq 1.$$

We deduce from the previous inequality and (3.10) that there exists a constant C such that

$$\left|\int_A v(t) dV\right| \leq C. \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.9) and (3.11), arguing the same way as in Proposition 1.2, we deduce that, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\|v(t)\|_{H^1(M)} \leq C.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 0.2. First, let us prove that

$$\int_M (\Delta v(t))^2 \, dV \leq C, \ \forall t \geq 0. \tag{3.12}$$

We follow Brendle [3] arguments. We set

$$V(t) = \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t}$$

and

$$y(t) = \int_M V^2(t) e^{v(t)} dV.$$

We claim that $y(t) \underset{t \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$. By (3.6), we have, for all $T \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^T \int_M \left(\frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t}\right)^2 e^{v(t)} dV dt \leq J_\rho(v(0)) - J_\rho(v(T)) \leq C, \tag{3.13}$$

where C is a constant not depending on T. Let ε be some positive real number. From (3.13), we deduce that there exists $t_0 \geq 0$ such that $y(t_0) \leq \varepsilon$. We want to prove that

$$y(t) \leq 3\varepsilon, \ \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Otherwise, we define

$$t_1 = \inf\{\ t \geq t_0\ :\ y(t) \geq 3\varepsilon\} < +\infty.$$

This implies that

$$y(t) \leq 3\varepsilon,\ \forall t_0 \leq t \leq t_1. \tag{3.14}$$

Since $\dfrac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t} = e^{-v(t)}\left(\Delta v(t) - Q\right) + \rho$, we arrive, by using (3.14), at

$$\int_M e^{-v(t)}\left(\Delta v(t) - Q\right)^2 dV = y(t) + \rho^2 \leq C_1,\ \forall t_0 \leq t \leq t_1, \tag{3.15}$$

where, in the following, C_1 will denote constant depending on ε and thus on t_1. From (3.7), we have, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_M e^{3v(t)} dV \leq C, \tag{3.16}$$

where, in the following, C will denote constant not depending on t_1. Using Hölder's inequality, (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain, $\forall t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M |\Delta v(t) - Q|^{\frac{3}{2}} dV &\leq \left(\int_M e^{-v(t)}\left(\Delta v(t) - Q\right)^2 dV\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_M e^{3v(t)} dV\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq C_1,\end{aligned}$$

thus, $\forall t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$, one has $\int_M |\Delta v(t)|^{\frac{3}{2}} dV \leq C_1$. From Sobolev's embedding Theorem, we get that

$$|v(t)| \leq C_1,\ \forall t_0 \leq t \leq t_1. \tag{3.17}$$

On the other hand, we see that $V(t) = \dfrac{\partial v(t)}{\partial t}$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial V(t)}{\partial t} = -V(t)e^{-v(t)}\Delta v(t) + e^{-v(t)}\Delta V(t) + QV(t)e^{-v(t)}. \tag{3.18}$$

Now using (3.18), we have, for all $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial y(t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int_M V^2(t)e^{v(t)} dV\right) \\ &= 2\int_M V(t)e^{v(t)}\left(e^{-v(t)}\Delta V(t) - V(t)e^{-v(t)}\Delta v(t) + QV(t)e^{-v(t)}\right) dV \\ &+ \int_M V^3(t)e^{v(t)} dV\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial y(t)}{\partial t} = -2\int_M |\nabla V(t)|^2 dV - \int_M V^3(t)e^{v(t)} dV + 2\rho\int_M V^2(t)e^{v(t)} dV. \tag{3.19}$$

Using Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality, we get

$$\|V(t)\|_{L^3_{g_1}(M)} \le C \|V(t)\|^{\frac{2}{3}}_{L^2_{g_1}(M)} \|V(t)\|^{\frac{1}{3}}_{H^1_{g_1}(M)},$$

where the norms are taken with respect to the metric $g_1(t) = e^{v(t)}g$. From (3.17), we notice that the first eigenvalue of the laplacian $\tilde{\lambda}_1(t)$ with respect to the metric $g_1(t)$ satisfies, $\forall t_0 \le t \le t_1$,

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1(t) \ge C_1. \tag{3.20}$$

From the fact that $\int_M Ve^v dV = 0$, Poincare's inequality and (3.20), we have

$$\int_M e^v |V|^3 dV \le C_1 \left(\int_M V^2 e^v dV\right)\left(\int_M |\nabla V|^2 dV\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.21}$$

Thus we obtain, from (3.19), (3.21) and Young's inequality,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int_M V^2 e^v dV\right) \le C_1 \left(\int_M V^2 e^v dV\right)^2 + C\left(\int_M V^2 e^v dV\right),$$

i.e.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} y(t) \le C_1 y^2(t) + Cy(t).$$

Since $y(t_0) \le \varepsilon$ and $y(t_1) = 3\varepsilon$, we find

$$2\varepsilon \le y(t_1) - y(t_0) \le (C_1 + C)\int_{t_0}^{t_1} y(t)dt.$$

Choosing t_0 large enough, we have $(C_1 + C)\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} y(t)dt \le \varepsilon$, and thus we obtain a contradiction. We conclude that

$$y(t) \underset{t\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Thereby, $t_1 = +\infty$. This implies that all estimates we previously got, hold for all $t \ge 0$. Thus, we have, for all $t \ge 0$, $|v(t)| \le C$, and

$$\int_M e^{-v(t)}(\Delta v(t) - Q)^2 dV \le C.$$

It follows that, for all $t \ge 0$, $\int_M (\Delta v(t))^2 dV \le C$. Thus, using (3.6), we have, for all $t \ge 0$, $\|v(t)\|_{H^2(M)} \le C$. Therefore, there exist a function $v_\infty \in H^2(M)$ and a sequence $(t_n)_n$, $t_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$ such that

$$v(t_n) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} v_\infty \textit{ weakly in } H^2(M),$$

and

$$v(t_n) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} v_\infty \ in \ C^\alpha(M),\ \alpha\in(0,1).$$

It is easy to check that v_∞ is a solution to

$$-\Delta v_\infty + Q = \rho\frac{e^{v_\infty}}{\int_M e^{v_\infty}dV},$$

and, by boothstrap regularity arguments, we have $v_\infty \in C^\infty(M)$. To obtain that $\|v(t_n)-v_\infty\|_{H^2(M)} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$, we just notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M (\Delta v(t_n)-\Delta v_\infty)^2\, dV &= \int_M \left(\frac{\rho}{a}(e^{v_\infty}-e^{v(t_n)}) + \frac{\partial e^{v(t_n)}}{\partial t}\right)^2 dV \\ &\leq C\int_M \left(e^{v_\infty}-e^{v(t_n)}\right)^2 dV + C\int_M \left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}(t_n)\right|^2 e^{v(t_n)}dV \\ &\underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since the flow is a gradient flow for the functional J_ρ which is real analytic, from a general result of Simon [27], we finally obtain that

$$\|v(t)-v_\infty\|_{H^2(M)} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

3.2 Proof of Theorem 0.3.

We will prove the existence of an initial data $v_0\in C^\infty(M)$ for the flow (0.4) such that the functional $J_\rho(v(t))$, $t\geq 0$, is uniformly bounded from below. From standard parabolic theory, it is easy to see that if $v_0\in C^\infty(M)$ then the solution v of (0.4) belongs to $C^\infty(M\times[0,+\infty))$. Let X be the space of functions $C^\infty(M)$ endowed with the $\|.\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)}$ norm and

$$\Phi : \begin{cases} X \times[0,\ +\infty) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M\times[0,+\infty) \\ (v\quad ,t\qquad) \longrightarrow \qquad \Phi(v,t)\end{cases}$$

where $\Phi(v,t)$ is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t}(v,t) = e^{-\Phi(v,t)}\Delta\Phi(v,t) - e^{-\Phi(v,t)}Q + \frac{\rho}{\int_M e^{\Phi(v,t)}dV} \\ \Phi(v,0)=v.\end{cases}$$

Suppose that, $\forall v\in X$, we have

$$J_\rho\left(\Phi(v,t)\right) \underset{t\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} -\infty. \tag{3.22}$$

Let $L>0$. Using (3.22), we will prove that $\{v\in X : J_\rho(v)\leq -L\}$ is contractible. But following the same arguments as in Malchiodi [23], one can prove that there exists $L_1>0$ such that $\{v\in X : J_\rho(v)\leq -L_1\}$ is not contractible. Let us prove that if (3.22) is satisfied then $\{v\in X : J_\rho(v)\leq -L\}$ is contractible. We proceed in two steps.

Step 1. *Let* $L > 0$ *be fixed and*

$$T_v = inf\left\{t \geqslant 0, J_\rho\left(\Phi(v,t)\right) \leq -L\right\},$$

then the function $T : \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} C^{2+\alpha}(M) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \\ v & \longrightarrow & T_v \end{array} \right.$ *is continuous.*

Proof of Step 1. From (3.22), we have

$$\{t \geqslant 0, J_\rho\left(\Phi(v,t)\right) \leq -L\} \neq \varnothing,$$

and, from the uniqueness of solutions of (0.4) having same initial data, one can prove that $J_\rho\left(\Phi(v,t)\right)$ is strictly decreasing on $[0,+\infty)$. Let $\bar{v} \in C^\infty(M)$ and $(v_n)_n \in C^\infty(M)$ be a sequence such that $v_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \bar{v}$ in $C^{2+\alpha}(M)$, we claim that $T_{v_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} T_{\bar{v}}$. To prove this, we will consider two cases depending on the value of $J_\rho(\bar{v})$.

First case. Suppose that $J_\rho(\bar{v}) < -L$. Since the function $t \to J_\rho(\Phi(\bar{v},t))$ is decreasing, we have $J_\rho(\Phi(\bar{v},t)) < -L$ for all $t \geq 0$. We deduce that $T_{\bar{v}} = 0$. Since $v_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \bar{v}$ in $C^{2+\alpha}(M)$, it is easy to see that

$$J_\rho(v_n) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} J_\rho(\bar{v}).$$

Thus, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J_\rho(v_n) \leq -L$ for all $n \geq n_0$. So we obtain that $T_{v_n} = 0 = T_{\bar{v}}$ for all $n \geq n_0$. This implies that

$$T_{v_n} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} T_{\bar{v}}.$$

Second case. Suppose that $J_\rho(\bar{v}) \geq -L$. In this case, $T_{\bar{v}}$ verifies $J_\rho(\Phi(\bar{v},T_{\bar{v}})) = -L$. Setting $T_n := T_{v_n}$ and supposing that T_n does not converge to $T_{\bar{v}}$, then, up to extracting a sub-sequence, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $|T_n - T_{\bar{v}}| \geq \varepsilon_0$. So we have $T_n \geq \varepsilon_0 + T_{\bar{v}}$ or $T_n \leq -\varepsilon_0 + T_{\bar{v}}$. Suppose, without loss of generality, that

$$T_n \geq \varepsilon_0 + T_{\bar{v}}. \tag{3.23}$$

Set $T = T_{\bar{v}} + \varepsilon_0 + 1$. Since $v_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \bar{v}$ in $C^{2+\alpha}(M)$, by Proposition 1.4, it is easy to see that

$$J_\rho(\Phi(v_n,t)) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} J_\rho(\Phi(\bar{v},t)), \tag{3.24}$$

for all t fixed in $[0,T]$. Since $t \to J_\rho(\Phi(\bar{v},t))$ is strictly decreasing, we have

$$\alpha_1 = J_\rho(\Phi(\bar{v},T_{\bar{v}})) - J_\rho(\Phi(\bar{v},T_{\bar{v}}+\varepsilon_0)) > 0.$$

From (3.24) , we get, since $T_{\bar{v}} + \varepsilon_0 \in [0,T]$,

$$J_\rho(\Phi(v_n,T_{\bar{v}}+\varepsilon_0)) \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} J_\rho(\Phi(\bar{v},T_{\bar{v}}+\varepsilon_0)) = -L - \alpha_1$$

and from (3.23),

$$J_\rho(\Phi(v_n,T_n)) \le J_\rho(\Phi(v_n,T_{\bar v}+\varepsilon_0)),$$

this implies that, if n tends to $+\infty$, $-L \le -L-\alpha_1$, thus we obtain a contradiction.

Step 2. *If* (3.22) *holds, then the set* $\{v\in X : J_\rho(v)\leqslant -L\}$ *is contractible.*

Proof of Step 2. We will construct a deformation retract from $\{v\in X\}$ into $\{v\in X : J_\rho(v)\leqslant -L\}$. Since $\{v\in X\}$ is contractible, then $\{v\in X : J_\rho(v)\leqslant -L\}$ will also be contractible. We denote by h the one-to-one function defined by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} h(t): & [0,1) & \longrightarrow & [0,+\infty) \\ & t & \longrightarrow & \frac{t}{1-t}, \end{array}$$

and by $\eta(v,t) : X\times[0,1]\to X$ the function defined by

$$\eta(v,t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{r} \Phi\left(v,h(t)\right) \text{ if } h(t)\leqslant T_v \\ \Phi\left(v,T_v\right) \text{ if } h(t)\geqslant T_v. \end{array}\right.$$

In a first time, let us prove that $\eta=\Phi\circ\Phi_1 : X\times[0,1)\to X$ is continuous where $\Phi_1 : X\times[0,1)\to X\times[0,+\infty)$ is the function defined by

$$\Phi_1(v,t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{r} (v,h(t)) \text{ if } h(t)\leqslant T_v \\ (v,T_v) \text{ if } h(t)\geqslant T_v. \end{array}\right.$$

From Step 1, $\Phi_1 : X\times[0,1)\to X\times[0,+\infty)$ is a continuous function. Therefore, to prove that η is a continuous application from $X\times[0,1)\to X$, it is sufficient to prove that, for $T>0$ fixed, $\Phi : X\times[0,T]\to X$ is continuous. Let $(v_n,t_n)\in C^\infty(M)\times[0,T]$ such that $v_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} v$ in $C^{2+\alpha}(M)$, where $v\in C^\infty(M)$ and $t_n \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} t\in[0,T]$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(v_n,t_n)-\Phi(v,t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \;\le\; & \|\Phi(v_n,t_n)-\Phi(v_n,t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \\ & + \;\|\Phi(v_n,t)-\Phi(v,t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \qquad (3.25) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Phi(v_n,.)\in C^\infty(M\times[0,T])$, we get, from Theorem 0.1, that, for all $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\left\|\frac{\partial\Phi(v_n,t)}{\partial t}\right\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \le C_T,$$

where, in the following, C_T denotes a constant not depending on n. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi(v_n,t_n)-\Phi(v_n,t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \\ = \;& \left\|\int_{t_n}^{t}\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial s}(v_n,s)ds\right\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \\ \le \;& |t_n-t|\max_{s\in[t_n,t]}\left\|\frac{\partial\Phi(v_n,s)}{\partial s}\right\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \underset{n\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \qquad (3.26) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using Proposition 1.4, we have, for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\|\Phi(v_n, t) - \Phi(v, t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \leq C_T \|v_n - v\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \underset{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \tag{3.27}$$

Combining (3.25) , (3.26) and (3.27), we find that

$$\|\Phi(v_n, t_n) - \Phi(v, t)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \underset{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Thus η is continuous from $X \times [0, 1) \to X$. It remains to prove that it is continuous on $X \times [0, 1]$. Let $(v_n, t_n) \in C^\infty(M) \times [0, 1]$ such that $v_n \underset{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} \bar{v}$ in $C^{2+\alpha}(M)$, where $\bar{v} \in C^\infty(M)$, and $t_n \underset{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1$. From Step 1, we have $T_{v_n} = T_n \underset{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} T_{\bar{v}}$. Since T_n is finite and $t_n \underset{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1$, it follows that $h(t_n) \underset{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} +\infty$, so, for n large enough, $h(t_n) \geq T_n$ and thus $\eta(v_n, t_n) = \Phi(v_n, T_n)$. We have, in the same way as (3.26) and (3.27), that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\eta(v_n, t_n) - \eta(\bar{v}, 1)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} = \|\Phi(v_n, T_n) - \Phi(\bar{v}, T_{\bar{v}})\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \\ \leq \; & \|\Phi(v_n, T_n) - \Phi(\bar{v}, T_n)\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} + \|\Phi(\bar{v}, T_n) - \Phi(\bar{v}, T_{\bar{v}})\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \underset{n \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore η is continuous from $X \times [0, 1] \to X$. Now, it is easy to check that η is a deformation retract from X into $\{v \in X : J_\rho(v) \leqslant -L\}$. Therefore, we deduce that $\{v \in X : J_\rho \leqslant -L\}$ is contractible.

3.3 Non-convergence of the flow: proof of Theorem 0.4.

To prove Theorem 0.4, it is sufficient to prove that there exists a real number $C > 0$ depending on M, Q and ρ such that $\forall v_0 \in C^{2+\alpha}(M)$ satisfying $J_\rho(v_0) \leq -C$, then the solution $v(t)$ of flow (0.4), with $v(x, 0) = v_0(x)$, for all $x \in M$, satisfies

$$J_\rho(v(t)) \underset{t \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} -\infty.$$

We recall (see Li [20]) that there exists a constant $C_0 \geq 0$ depending on M, Q and ρ such that, for any solution $w \in C^{2+\alpha}(M)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, of

$$-\Delta w + Q = \frac{\rho e^w}{\int_M e^w dV},$$

then

$$\|w\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \leq C_0. \tag{3.28}$$

Since $J_\rho(v(t))$ is decreasing, if $\lim\limits_{t \to +\infty} J_\rho(v(t)) \neq -\infty$ then there exists $L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$J_\rho(v(t)) \geq L, \ \forall t \in [0, +\infty).$$

From Theorem 0.2, there exists a function $v_\infty \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\|v(t) - v_\infty\|_{H^2(M)} \underset{t \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

and $v_\infty \in C^\infty(M)$ is a solution of

$$-\Delta v_\infty + Q = \frac{\rho e^{v_\infty}}{\int_M e^{v_\infty} dV}. \tag{3.29}$$

It follows that

$$\|v_\infty\|_{C^{2+\alpha}(M)} \leq C_0,$$

where C_0 is the constant defined in (3.28). This implies that there exists a constant $\bar{C}$ depending on M, Q, ρ and C_0 such that

$$J(w_\infty) \geq -\bar{C}.$$

Since $J_\rho(v(t_1)) \leq J_\rho(v(t_2))$, for all $t_1 \geq t_2$, we have

$$J_\rho(v_0) \geq J_\rho(v_\infty) \geq -\bar{C}.$$

But, by hypothesis, $J_\rho(v_0) \leq -C$, choosing $C > \bar{C}$, we get a contradiction.

References

[1] Thierry Aubin. *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry.* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[2] Paul Baird, Ali Fardoun, and Rachid Regbaoui. The evolution of the scalar curvature of a surface to a prescribed function. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 3(1):17–38, 2004.

[3] Simon Brendle. Global existence and convergence for a higher order flow in conformal geometry. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 158(1):323–343, 2003.

[4] Simon Brendle. Convergence of the Yamabe flow for arbitrary initial energy. *J. Differential Geom.*, 69(2):217–278, 2005.

[5] Simon Brendle. Convergence of the Q-curvature flow on S^4. *Adv. Math.*, 205(1):1–32, 2006.

[6] Haïm Brezis and Frank Merle. Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimensions. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 16(8-9):1223–1253, 1991.

[7] Christophe Brouttelande. The best-constant problem for a family of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities on a compact Riemannian manifold. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)*, 46(1):117–146, 2003.

[8] Luis A. Caffarelli and Yi Song Yang. Vortex condensation in the Chern-Simons Higgs model: an existence theorem. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 168(2):321–336, 1995.

[9] E. Caglioti, P.-L. Lions, C. Marchioro, and M. Pulvirenti. A special class of stationary flows for two-dimensional Euler equations: a statistical mechanics description. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 143(3):501–525, 1992.

[10] Chiun-Chuan Chen and Chang-Shou Lin. Topological degree for a mean field equation on Riemann surfaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56(12):1667–1727, 2003.

[11] Wen Xiong Chen and Congming Li. Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations. *Duke Math. J.*, 63(3):615–622, 1991.

[12] Weiyue Ding, Jürgen Jost, Jiayu Li, and Guofang Wang. Existence results for mean field equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 16(5):653–666, 1999.

[13] Zindine Djadli. Existence result for the mean field problem on Riemann surfaces of all genuses. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 10(2):205–220, 2008.

[14] Zindine Djadli and Andrea Malchiodi. Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q-curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 168(3):813–858, 2008.

[15] Avner Friedman. *Partial differential equations of parabolic type.* Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964.

[16] Richard S. Hamilton. The Ricci flow on surfaces. In *Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986)*, volume 71 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 237–262. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.

[17] Jongmin Han. Asymptotic limit for condensate solutions in the abelian Chern-Simons Higgs model. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(6):1839–1845 (electronic), 2003.

[18] Emmanuel Hebey. *Introduction à l'analyse non linéaire sur les variétés.* Collection Fondations. Editions Diderot Sciences, 1997.

[19] Tobias Lamm, Frédéric Robert, and Michael Struwe. The heat flow with a critical exponential nonlinearity. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 257(9):2951–2998, 2009.

[20] Yan Yan Li. Harnack type inequality: the method of moving planes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 200(2):421–444, 1999.

[21] Yan Yan Li and Itai Shafrir. Blow-up analysis for solutions of $-\Delta u = Ve^u$ in dimension two. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 43(4):1255–1270, 1994.

[22] Andrea Malchiodi. Compactness of solutions to some geometric fourth-order equations. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 594:137–174, 2006.

[23] Andrea Malchiodi. Morse theory and a scalar field equation on compact surfaces. *Adv. Differential Equations*, 13(11-12):1109–1129, 2008.

[24] Andrea Malchiodi and Michael Struwe. Q-curvature flow on S^4. *J. Differential Geom.*, 73(1):1–44, 2006.

[25] J. Moser. A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 20:1077–1092, 1970/71.

[26] Hartmut Schwetlick and Michael Struwe. Convergence of the Yamabe flow for “large” energies. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 562:59–100, 2003.

[27] Leon Simon. Asymptotics for a class of nonlinear evolution equations, with applications to geometric problems. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 118(3):525–571, 1983.

[28] Michael Struwe. Curvature flows on surfaces. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 1(2):247–274, 2002.

[29] Michael Struwe. A flow approach to Nirenberg's problem. *Duke Math. J.*, 128(1):19–64, 2005.

[30] Michael Struwe and Gabriella Tarantello. On multivortex solutions in Chern-Simons gauge theory. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8)*, 1(1):109–121, 1998.

[31] Gabriella Tarantello. Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory. *J. Math. Phys.*, 37(8):3769–3796, 1996.

[32] Neil S. Trudinger. On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications. *J. Math. Mech.*, 17:473–483, 1967.

[33] Yisong Yang. *Solitons in field theory and nonlinear analysis.* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.